Office of the Auditor General Follow-Up Report on Prior Audit Recommendations

Child Care Fund

Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

September 2022

The auditor general shall conduct post audits of financial transactions and accounts of the state and of all branches, departments, offices, boards, commissions, agencies, authorities and institutions of the state established by this constitution or by law, and performance post audits thereof.

The auditor general may make investigations pertinent to the conduct of audits.

Article IV, Section 53 of the Michigan Constitution



Report Summary

Follow-Up Report

Report Number: 431-1400-19F

Child Care Fund (CCF)

Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS)

Released: September 2022

We conducted this follow-up to determine whether MDHHS had taken appropriate corrective measures in response to the material condition noted in our December 2020 audit report.

Prior Audit Information

Finding 1 - Material condition

Continued improvement needed for selection of counties and Native American Indian tribes for on-site CCF monitoring reviews.

Agency agreed.

Follow-Up Results						
Conclusion	Finding	Agency Preliminary Response				
Complied	Not ap	plicable				

Obtain Audit Reports

Online: <u>audgen.michigan.gov</u> Phone: (517) 334-8050 Office of the Auditor General 201 N. Washington Square, Sixth Floor Lansing, Michigan 48913

Doug A. Ringler, CPA, CIAAuditor General

Laura J. Hirst, CPADeputy Auditor General



201 N. Washington Square, Sixth Floor • Lansing, Michigan 48913 • Phone: (517) 334-8050 • audgen.michigan.gov

September 27, 2022

Ms. Elizabeth Hertel, Director Michigan Department of Health and Human Services South Grand Building Lansing, Michigan

Dear Ms. Hertel:

This is our follow-up report on the material condition (Finding 1) and corresponding recommendation reported in the performance audit of the Child Care Fund, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services. That audit report was issued and distributed in December 2020. Additional copies are available on request or at audgen.michigan.gov.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us during our follow-up. If you have any questions, please call me or Laura J. Hirst, CPA, Deputy Auditor General.

Sincerely,

Doug Ringler Auditor General

Doug Kingler

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHILD CARE FUND

	<u>Page</u>
Report Summary	1
Report Letter	3
Introduction, Purpose of Follow-Up, and Description	6
Prior Audit Finding and Recommendation, Agency Plan to Comply, and Follow-Up Conclusion	7
Supplemental Information	
CCF Expenditure Reimbursements to Counties and Tribes (Dollar Amount Order), From October 1, 2020 Through May 31, 2022	9
Follow-Up Methodology and Period	10
Glossary of Abbreviations and Terms	11

INTRODUCTION, PURPOSE OF FOLLOW-UP, AND DESCRIPTION

INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results of our follow-up of the material condition* (Finding 1) and corresponding recommendation reported in our performance audit* of the Child Care Fund (CCF), Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS), issued in December 2020.

PURPOSE OF FOLLOW-UP

To determine whether MDHHS had taken appropriate corrective measures to address our corresponding recommendation.

DESCRIPTION

The CCF was created by Public Act 87 of 1978 (Sections 400.117a - 400.117h of the *Michigan Compiled Laws*) to establish a juvenile justice funding system that is administered under MDHHS's superintending control. The enabling legislation sought to provide the agency with the authority and responsibility for administering youth services and programs in the State. The CCF was originally designed to improve care for children under the jurisdiction of county juvenile courts with the State reimbursing counties and Native American Indian tribes* (tribes) 50% of all eligible CCF activities and, beginning October 1, 2021, 100% of eligible Raise the Age* costs included in approved annual plans and budgets.

MDHHS is responsible for monitoring and ensuring the appropriate State reimbursement of county and tribe CCF activities. Historically, this included performance of on-site fiscal and programmatic monitoring reviews of selected counties and tribes each year to validate those expenditures submitted in monthly reimbursement requests complied with CCF reimbursement program requirements. In March 2020, MDHHS began performing monitoring reviews virtually because of the COVID-19* pandemic. As of October 1, 2021, MDHHS formally moved to a hybrid model allowing for performance of on-site and/or virtual CCF monitoring reviews of selected counties and tribes each year. Consequently, MDHHS no longer refers to the reviews as *on-site* monitoring reviews; instead, the reviews are referred to as monitoring reviews.

MDHHS CCF activities are primarily funded with State General Fund/general purpose appropriations and federal financial assistance. MDHHS employed 13 staff with responsibilities related to CCF. Reimbursements to counties and tribes totaled \$184.7 million for the 20-month period October 1, 2020 through May 31, 2022 (see supplemental information).

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION, AGENCY PLAN TO COMPLY, AND FOLLOW-UP CONCLUSION

FINDING 1

Audit Finding Classification: Material condition.

Summary of the December 2020 Finding:

MDHHS needed to improve its selection process to ensure that counties and tribes exhibiting a greater risk of noncompliance were selected for on-site CCF monitoring reviews*. Specifically, we noted that MDHHS's risk assessment process repeatedly ranked Wayne County as the first or second highest risk county out of over 90 counties and tribes Statewide; however, MDHHS did not select Wayne County for an on-site CCF monitoring review during the nearly five-year period between October 2014 and July 2019.

Recommendation Reported in December 2020:

We recommended that MDHHS continue to improve its process for selection of counties and tribes for on-site CCF monitoring reviews.

AGENCY PLAN TO COMPLY*

On December 14, 2021, MDHHS indicated that it continued to improve its process for the selection of counties and tribes for CCF monitoring reviews by refining the risk assessment process and ensuring it performed CCF monitoring reviews of counties and tribes that present the greatest risk of noncompliance.

Specifically, MDHHS indicated it had:

- Performed CCF monitoring reviews for all counties and tribes identified in its 2020 risk assessment process.
- Completed the 2021 risk assessment process with further refinements from the 2020 risk assessment process and would ensure performance of CCF monitoring reviews of the resulting counties and tribes that presented the greatest risk of noncompliance.

FOLLOW-UP CONCLUSION

Complied.

Our review noted:

 MDHHS conducted CCF monitoring reviews generally in accordance with its 2020, 2021, and 2022 risk rankings for counties and tribes exhibiting the greatest risk of noncompliance, including on-site CCF monitoring reviews of Wayne County in July 2019 and October 2021.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

- MDHHS accurately scored and assigned risk rankings for its 2021 and 2022 risk assessment process for the five counties we reviewed.
- MDHHS maintained documentation supporting the work completed and the overall conclusions reached for the three CCF monitoring reviews we examined.

UNAUDITED

CHILD CARE FUND Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

CCF Expenditure Reimbursements to Counties and Tribes (Dollar Amount Order)
From October 1, 2020 Through May 31, 2022

	Fiscal Year			Fiscal Year							
County/Tribe	2021	2022*	Total	otal County/Tribe		2021 2022*				Total	
Wayne	\$42,055,465	\$ 24,010,765	\$66,066,230	Ogemaw	\$	186,710	\$	141,555	\$	328,266	
Kent	10,048,801	6,215,308	16,264,109	Newaygo		187,486		127,566		315,053	
Oakland	8,775,787	4,809,515	13,585,302	Lake		189,126		117,950		307,076	
Ingham	4,976,385	3,900,973	8,877,358	Mecosta		185,646		102,699		288,345	
Macomb	3,583,637	2,720,734	6,304,371	Dickinson		179,047		96,117		275,164	
Kalamazoo	2,955,241	2,061,466	5,016,707	losco		160,180		85,121		245,301	
Genesee	2,898,958	1,775,904	4,674,862	Crawford		157,801		72,697		230,498	
Berrien	2,877,091	1,792,745	4,669,836	Leelanau		127,345		87,462		214,806	
Washtenaw	2,561,196	1,918,286	4,479,482	Manistee		123,172		84,113		207,285	
Ottawa	2,523,153	1,750,688	4,273,841	Arenac		125,360		79,769		205,128	
Muskegon	2,020,694	1,595,194	3,615,888	Hannahville Indian Community		143,057		60,790		203,847	
St. Clair	2,070,480	1,407,645	3,478,124	Wexford		110,608		91,130		201,738	
Allegan	1,897,146	1,312,890	3,210,036	Saginaw Chippewa Indian		110,000		01,100		201,100	
Saginaw	1,978,053	1,159,913	3,137,966	Tribe (Isabella)		103,677		97,239		200,915	
Midland	1,749,224	1,186,298	2,935,522	Oceana		102,632		77,121		179,754	
Monroe	1,425,590	1,298,718	2,724,308	Bay Mills Indian Community		92,082		86,234		179,734	
Eaton		1,073,703		Osceola		,					
	1,606,859		2,680,562			103,822		72,069		175,891	
Lenawee	1,374,915	977,146	2,352,060	Chippewa		97,730		73,563		171,293	
Jackson	1,355,810	976,831	2,332,641	Ionia		106,580		52,188		158,768	
Calhoun	1,364,135	850,860	2,214,995	Otsego		91,550		65,020		156,570	
Bay	867,023	679,251	1,546,274	Menominee		85,739		59,036		144,775	
Livingston	671,550	475,863	1,147,414	Missaukee		68,770		53,206		121,975	
Hillsdale	545,665	380,852	926,517	Huron		78,068		43,079		121,147	
Van Buren	498,780	369,096	867,876	Clare		78,556		35,149		113,704	
Isabella	479,137	263,024	742,162	Gogebic		72,962		38,649		111,610	
Alpena	372,415	320,952	693,367	Oscoda		63,073		46,555		109,628	
Shiawassee	368,471	310,038	678,509	Houghton		55,497		47,492		102,989	
Clinton	353,239	279,310	632,549	Benzie		72,132		26,983		99,115	
Cheboygan	306,046	323,466	629,512	Iron		53,581		40,697		94,278	
Roscommon	375,370	248,926	624,297	Presque Isle		64,817		29,133		93,950	
Lapeer	381,602	239,244	620,846	Antrim		49,688		39,897		89,585	
Emmet	187,843	313,480	501,323	Baraga		24,749		57,998		82,747	
Barry	310,099	186,901	497,000	Gladwin		54,818		27,159		81,976	
St. Joseph	290,944	191,612	482,556	Schoolcraft		42,089		32,581		74,669	
Delta	286,637	186,359	472,996	Alger		50,543		19,772		70,316	
Branch	263,379	201,594	464,974	Alcona		41,233		27,581		68,814	
Marquette	259,074	202,731	461,805	Kalkaska		39,028		28,308		67,335	
Grand Traverse	229,385	228,170	457,555	Luce		26,929		35,567		62,495	
Sanilac	235,501	176,527	412,028	Little Traverse Bay Bands of		-,-		,		- ,	
Tuscola	220,176	190,364	410,540	Odawa Indians (Emmet)		35,745		20,761		56,505	
Montcalm	238,381	160,588	398,969	Mackinac		28,466		22,598		51,064	
Gratiot	254,270	143,054	397,323	Keweenaw Bay		44,518		4,693		49,211	
Mason	210,885	184,107	394,992	Indian Community		11,010		1,000		10,211	
Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of	210,000	104,107	004,002	Montmorency		18,711		23,768		42,479	
Chippewa Indians	222,818	165,954	388,772	Inter-Tribal Council		25,204		16,364		41,568	
Cass	233,882	128,680	362,562	Ontonagon		14,546		6,811		21,357	
Charlevoix	,	,	345,671	Keweenaw		13,676		488		,	
Chanevoix	199,193	146,478	343,07 I			13,070		400		14,164	
				Grand Traverse Band of Ottawa and Chippewa Indians		1,452				1,452	
				Total	¢ 1	12,738,580	¢ 7	1,946,927	¢ 19	4,685,507	
				rotai	۱ψ	14,130,000	ψ /	1,340,821	ψιο	-,000,007	

^{*} Includes expenditure reimbursements to counties and tribes for the 8-month period from October 1, 2021 through May 31, 2022.

Source: The OAG created this exhibit using data obtained from MDHHS's Michigan Statewide Automated Child Welfare Information System (MiSACWIS).

FOLLOW-UP METHODOLOGY AND PERIOD

METHODOLOGY

We reviewed MDHHS's corrective action plan and conducted interviews with management to obtain an understanding of improvements made to its process for the selection of counties and tribes for CCF monitoring reviews. Also, we:

- Reviewed MDHHS's 2020, 2021, and 2022 risk assessment process to evaluate MDHHS's enhancements intended to improve its process for selecting counties and tribes for CCF monitoring reviews.
- Randomly and judgmentally selected a sample of 5 counties from the population of 91 counties and tribes and verified that the qualitative and quantitative factors evaluated by MDHHS in its 2021 and 2022 risk assessment work sheets for the selected counties were supported and accurately scored and that the proper risk ranking was assigned.
- Analyzed MDHHS's 2020, 2021, and 2022 risk assessment work sheets and MDHHS's completed CCF monitoring review records to verify that MDHHS performed the reviews of counties and tribes generally in accordance with MDHHS's assigned risk rankings.
- Examined MDHHS's CCF monitoring review documentation for 3 randomly and judgmentally selected counties of the 18 counties having monitoring reviews started and completed between December 1, 2020 and May 31, 2022 to determine whether MDHHS auditors adequately documented their review procedures and conclusions.

PERIOD

Our follow-up generally covered December 1, 2020 through May 31, 2022; however, our review of MDHHS's risk assessment process and verification of MDHHS's performance of CCF monitoring reviews covered the period January 1, 2020 through May 31, 2022.

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS AND TERMS

agency plan to comply

The response required by Section 18.1462 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* and the State of Michigan Financial Management Guide (Part VII, Chapter 4, Section 100). The audited agency is required to develop a plan to comply with Office of the Auditor General audit recommendations and to submit the plan to the State Budget Office upon completion of an audit. Within 30 days of receipt, the Office of Internal Audit Services, State Budget Office, is required to review the plan and either accept the plan as final or contact the agency to take additional steps to finalize the plan.

CCF

Child Care Fund.

COVID-19

The disease caused by a new coronavirus called SARS-CoV-2. It is a potentially severe illness often characterized by fever, coughing, and shortness of breath. The World Health Organization learned of the virus in December 2019.

juvenile justice service

A service, exclusive of judicial functions, provided by a county for juveniles who are within or likely to come within the court's jurisdiction and includes intake, detention, detention alternatives, probation, foster care, diagnostic evaluation and treatment, or shelter care.

material condition

A matter that, in the auditor's judgment, is more severe than a reportable condition and could impair the ability of management to operate a program in an effective and efficient manner and/or could adversely affect the judgment of an interested person concerning the effectiveness and efficiency of the program. Our assessment of materiality is in relation to the respective audit objective.

MDHHS

Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.

monitoring review

An MDHHS review of fiscal records to assess whether the county or tribe CCF program recorded and reported direct expenditures and revenues that were accurate, allowable, and appropriate according to State laws, regulations, and departmental policy; and an assessment of whether the county or tribe was compliant with employee contractor, in-home care, basic grant, and out-of-home placement requirements.

These reviews were formerly *on-site* monitoring reviews. Beginning October 1, 2021, MDHHS moved to a hybrid model, allowing for performance of on-site and/or virtual monitoring reviews.

Native American Indian tribes (tribes)

The eight Native American Indian tribes that MDHHS works collaboratively with to fund CCF programming for youths under tribal jurisdiction.

performance audit

An audit that provides findings or conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence against criteria. Performance audits provide objective analysis to assist management and those charged with governance and oversight in using the information to improve program performance and operations, reduce costs, facilitate decision-making by parties with responsibility to oversee or initiate corrective action, and contribute to public accountability.

Raise the Age

Beginning October 1, 2021, the State is required to pay 100% of the cost to provide juvenile justice services when a court exercises jurisdiction over a juvenile who is 17 years of age, but under the age of 18, at the time of the offense.

reportable condition

A matter that, in the auditor's judgment, is less severe than a material condition and falls within any of the following categories: a deficiency in internal control; noncompliance with provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements; opportunities to improve programs and operations; or fraud.



Report Fraud/Waste/Abuse

Online: audgen.michigan.gov/report-fraud

Hotline: (517) 334-8070