

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION LANSING



December 9, 2008

Mr. Doug Ringler
Office of Internal Audit Services
Office of the State Budget
George W. Romney Building
111 South Capitol, 6th Floor
Lansing, Michigan 48913

Dear Mr. Ringler:

In accordance with the State of Michigan, Financial Management Guide, Part VII, following are a summary table identifying our responses and corrective action plans to address recommendations contained within the Office of the Auditor General's audit report of the Michigan Department of Education, School Report Card Program.

Questions regarding the summary table or corrective action plans should be directed to Kathleen Weller at 335-6858.

Sincerely,

Signature Redacted

Michael P. Flanagan Superintendent of Public Instruction

c: The Hon. Jennifer M. Granholm, Executive Office

Mr. Thomas H. McTavish, Office of the Auditor General

Mr. Mitchell Bean, House Fiscal Agency

Mr. Gary Olson, Senate Fiscal Agency

The Hon. George Cushingberry, Jr., House Appropriations Committee

The Hon. Ron Jelinek, Senate Appropriations Committee

The Hon. Tim Melton, House Education Committee

The Hon. Wayne Kuipers, Senate Education Committee

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

KATHLEEN N. STRAUS – PRESIDENT • JOHN C. AUSTIN – VICE PRESIDENT CAROLYN L. CURTIN – SECRETARY • MARIANNE YARED MCGUIRE – TREASURER NANCY DANHOF – NASBE DELEGATE • ELIZABETH W. BAUER REGINALD M. TURNER • CASANDRA E. ULBRICH Page 1 5/27/2008

State of Michigan Financial Management Guide Part VII – Internal Control

Chapter 4, Section 100, Exhibit B

Table Summarizing Responses to Auditor General Recommendations

Office of Educational Assessment and Accountability
Department of Education
Summary of Agency Responses to Recommendations
Performance Audit of the School Report Card Program
(313-0203-06)
Audit Period

- 1. Audit recommendations the agency complied with:
 - 1. Data Quality Management Controls
 - 2. Education YES! Score Ranges and Grades
 - 3. Proficiency Rates of Students With Disabilities
 - 4. Notification to Parents of Schools
 - 5. Verification of High School Test Data
 - 6. Full Academic Year Students
 - 7. Change Management and Access Controls
 - 10. Appeals Documentation
- 2. Audit recommendations the agency agrees with and will comply:
 - 7. Documentation of the Business Process
 - 9. School Performance Indicators
 - 11. State Report Card
 - 12. School District Annual Reports
- 3. Audit recommendations the agency disagrees with:

Michigan Department of Education

Status of Recommendations from the Performance Audit of the School Report Card **Program** (313-0203-06)

Rec	commendation	Status of Response
1.	Data Quality Management Controls MDE, in conjunction with DIT, had not implemented sufficient management controls to help detect and correct inaccuracies and inconsistencies in programming logic used to compile School Report Card results. As a result, MDE reported inaccurate components of AYP status and Education YES! grades for at least 620 schools, which may have resulted in MDE incorrectly reporting some	Status of Response MDE has complied with this recommendation. Improved customer acceptance procedures and filling of an analyst position have allowed this to be completed.
2.	schools and districts as meeting AYP. Education YES! Score Ranges and Grades MDE improperly included the test scores of nonpublic school students when calculating Education YES! letter grade score ranges.	MDE has complied with this recommendation. New procedures have been implemented to prevent recurrence.
3.	Proficiency Rates of Students With Disabilities MDE had not established sufficient controls to ensure compliance with federal regulations relating to the calculation of proficiency rates for students with disabilities.	MDE has complied with this recommendation. New procedures have been implemented for records retention and for programmatic controls.
4.	Notification to Parents of Schools Identified for Improvement MDE did not ensure that school districts included all required information when notifying parents of students attending schools that were identified for improvement.	MDE has complied with this recommendation.
5.	Verification of High School Test Data MDE had not implemented procedures to assess the accuracy of high school test data used in the calculation of participation and proficiency rates for AYP.	MDE has complied with this recommendation. "Class of" data is no longer used for high school accountability.
6.	Full Academic Year Students MDE had not developed a sufficient methodology to ensure that it included	MDE has complied with this recommendation. The scores of students enrolled less than a full academic year are

_		
	only the assessment scores of students enrolled in a school for a full academic year when calculating a school's AYP status and Education YES! scores.	not included.
7.	Documentation of the Business Process MDE, in conjunction with DIT, had not developed a comprehensive documented business process for the School Report Card Program information system.	MDE plans to issue an invitation to bid to develop the documentation called for in this recommendation.
8.	Change Management and Access Controls MDE and DIT had not established effective controls over program changes and user access to the School Report Card Program information system and data.	MDE has complied with this recommendation.
9.	School Performance Indicators MDE did not evaluate the reasonableness of the school performance indicator self-ratings, which account for 33% of the Education YES! grade portion of the School Report Card.	MDE has complied with this recommendation. The indicators are no longer scored as part of the Education YES! school accreditation system.
10.	Appeals Documentation MDE did not always retain sufficient documentation to support its analysis and conclusions regarding School Report Card appeals. As a result, MDE could not ensure that changes to School Report Card scores and grades were valid.	MDE has complied with this recommendation. New procedures base appeals on changes to the data for individual students.
11.	State Report Card MDE did not prepare and disseminate an annual State Report Card for school year 2004-05 and did not include all required elements in its 2003-04 and 2005-06 State Report Cards.	MDE has complied with this recommendation.
12.	School District Annual Reports MDE had not implemented procedures to ensure that school districts prepared annual reports in accordance with State and federal requirements. As a result, MDE could not ensure that school districts provided complete and accurate data regarding student performance and program effectiveness to parents and the public.	MDE has complied with this recommendation.

Michigan Department of Education

Response to Performance Audit of the School Report Card Program

Finding

- 6. Full Academic Year Students MDE had not developed a sufficient methodology to ensure that it included only the assessment scores of students enrolled in a school for a full academic year when calculating a school's AYP status and Education YES! scores.
 - a) MDE incorrectly classified 34,975 (4.2%) of the 823,211 students as full academic year when the enrollment records for these students indicated that they were not enrolled in any public school on at least one of the official count days.
 - b) MDE incorrectly classified 11,082 (1.3%) of the 823,211 students as full academic year when the enrollment records for these students indicated that they were enrolled at a different public school on one of the three official count days.

MDE action

MDE complied with recommendation for the 2006-07 and 2007-08 School Report Cards.