

AUDIT REPORT



THOMAS H. McTavish, C.P.A.

AUDITOR GENERAL

The auditor general shall conduct post audits of financial transactions and accounts of the state and of all branches, departments, offices, boards, commissions, agencies, authorities and institutions of the state established by this constitution or by law, and performance post audits thereof.

- Article IV, Section 53 of the Michigan Constitution

Audit report information can be accessed at: http://audgen.michigan.gov



Michigan

Office of the Auditor General

REPORT SUMMARY

Financial Audit

Including the Provisions of the Single Audit Act

Report Number: 551-0100-10

Michigan Department of State Police

October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2009

Released: June 2010

A Single Audit is designed to meet the needs of all financial report users, including an entity's federal grantor agencies. The audit determines if the financial schedules and/or financial statements are fairly presented; considers internal control over financial reporting and internal control over federal program compliance; determines compliance with requirements material to the financial schedules and/or financial statements; and assesses compliance with direct and material requirements of the major federal programs.

Financial Schedules:

Auditor's Report Issued

We issued an unqualified opinion on the Michigan Department of State Police's (MSP's) financial schedules.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We identified a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting (Finding 1). We do not consider this significant deficiency to be a material weakness.

Noncompliance and Other Matters Material to the Financial Schedules

We did not identify any instances of noncompliance or other matters applicable to the financial schedules that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did identify other instances of noncompliance (Finding 2).

Federal Awards:

Auditor's Reports Issued on Compliance

We audited 10 programs as major programs and issued 10 unqualified opinions. MSP expended a total of \$278.2 million in federal awards during the two-year period ended September 30, 2009. The federal programs audited as major programs are identified on the back of this summary.

Internal Control Over Major Programs

We identified significant deficiencies in internal control over federal program compliance (Findings 3 through 7). We do not consider these significant deficiencies to be material weaknesses.

Required Reporting of Noncompliance

We identified instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 (Findings 3 through 7).

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Systems of Accounting and Internal Control:

We determined that MSP was in substantial compliance with Sections 18.1483 - 18.1487 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws*.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

We audited the following programs as major programs:

	Compliance	
CFDA Number	Program or Cluster Title	Opinion
11.555	Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program	Unqualified
16.007/97.004 and 97.067	Homeland Security Cluster	Unqualified
16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	Unqualified
16.741	Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program	Unqualified
20.218	National Motor Carrier Safety	Unqualified
20.600, 20.601, 20.602, 20.604, 20.605, 20.609, 20.610, and 20.612	Highway Safety Cluster	Unqualified
83.544/97.036 83.544 97.036	Public Assistance Grants Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)	Unqualified
84.397	ARRA - State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) - Government Services, Recovery Act	Unqualified
97.042	Emergency Management Performance Grants	Unqualified
97.078	Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)	Unqualified

A copy of the full report can be obtained by calling 517.334.8050 or by visiting our Web site at: http://audgen.michigan.gov



Michigan Office of the Auditor General 201 N. Washington Square Lansing, Michigan 48913

Compliance

Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A. Auditor General

Scott M. Strong, C.P.A., C.I.A.
Deputy Auditor General



STATE OF MICHIGAN OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL 201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MICHIGAN 48913 (517) 324 9050

(517) 334-8050 FAX (517) 334-8079

THOMAS H. MCTAVISH, C.P.A.
AUDITOR GENERAL

June 30, 2010

Colonel Eddie L. Washington, Jr., Director Michigan Department of State Police 333 South Grand Avenue Lansing, Michigan

Dear Colonel Washington:

This is our report on the financial audit, including the provisions of the Single Audit Act, of the Michigan Department of State Police (MSP) for the period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2009.

This report contains our report summary, our independent auditor's report on the financial schedules, and the MSP financial schedules and schedule of expenditures of federal awards. This report also contains our independent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters, our independent auditor's report on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and on internal control over compliance in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, and our schedule of findings and questioned costs. In addition, this report contains MSP's summary schedule of prior audit findings, its corrective action plan, and a glossary of acronyms and terms.

Our findings and recommendations are contained in Section II and Section III of the schedule of findings and questioned costs. The agency preliminary responses are contained in the corrective action plan. The *Michigan Compiled Laws* and administrative procedures require that the audited agency develop a formal response within 60 days after release of the audit report.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us during this audit.

Auditor General

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

INTRODUCTION	<u>Page</u>
Report Summary	1
Report Letter	3
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT AND FINANCIAL SCHEDULES	
Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Schedules	8
Michigan Department of State Police Financial Schedules	
Schedule of General Fund Revenues and Other Financing Sources	10
Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations	11
Notes to the Financial Schedules	12
SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL SCHEDULE	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	18
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	26
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS ON	
INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters	30
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	32

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results	35
Section II: Findings Related to the Financial Schedules	36
Internal Control Over Payroll Processing	36
2. Expenditures in Excess of Authorization	37
Section III: Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards	39
 Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program, CFDA 11.555 	39
4. Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program, CFDA 16.741	40
5. National Motor Carrier Safety, CFDA 20.218	41
6. Emergency Management Performance Grants, CFDA 97.042	42
7. Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP), CFDA 97.078	43
OTHER SCHEDULES	
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	46
Corrective Action Plan	48
GLOSSARY	
Glossary of Acronyms and Terms	53

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT AND FINANCIAL SCHEDULES



STATE OF MICHIGAN OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL 201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MICHIGAN 48913 (517) 334-8050

(517) 334-8050 FAX (517) 334-8079

THOMAS H. MCTAVISH, C.P.A.
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Schedules

Colonel Eddie L. Washington, Jr., Director Michigan Department of State Police 333 South Grand Avenue Lansing, Michigan

Dear Colonel Washington:

We have audited the accompanying financial schedules of the Michigan Department of State Police for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, as identified in the table of contents. These financial schedules are the responsibility of the Department's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial schedules based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial schedules are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the financial schedules present only the revenues and other financing sources and the sources and disposition of authorizations for the Michigan Department of State Police's General Fund accounts, presented using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, these financial schedules do not purport to, and do not, constitute a complete financial presentation of either the Department or the State's General Fund in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial schedules referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the revenues and other financing sources and the sources and disposition of authorizations of the Michigan Department of State Police for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008 on the basis of accounting described in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 10, 2010 on our consideration of the Department's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards, required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the Department's financial schedules referred to in the first paragraph. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial schedules and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial schedules taken as a whole.

AUDITOR GENERAL

June 10, 2010

Schedule of General Fund Revenues and Other Financing Sources Fiscal Years Ended September 30

	2009	2008
REVENUES (Note 2)		
From taxes	\$ 2,286,016	\$ 1,950,197
From federal agencies	180,749,076	92,680,610
From local agencies	3,000,549	3,304,487
From services	53,096,641	49,124,786
From licenses and permits	11,625,767	14,089,211
Miscellaneous		
Income from investments	239,401	837,747
Various fines, fees, and assessments	6,544,908	6,637,044
Court fines, fees, and assessments	34,194,028	39,976,989
Other miscellanous	5,576,305	2,606,132
Total revenues	\$ 297,312,691	\$ 208,207,203
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES		
Transfers from other funds (Note 2)	5,711,000	
Total revenues and other financing sources	\$ 303,023,691	\$ 208,207,203

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial schedules.

Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations Fiscal Years Ended September 30

	2009	2008
SOURCES OF AUTHORIZATIONS (Note 3)		
General purpose appropriations	\$ 171,652,200	\$ 277,029,300
Balances carried forward	25,035,804	24,932,746
Restricted financing sources	310,219,328	216,517,205
Less: Intrafund expenditure reimbursements	(8,877,720)	(13,333,002)
Total	\$ 498,029,612	\$ 505,146,249
i otai	Ψ 400,020,012	Ψ 000,140,240
DISPOSITION OF AUTHORIZATIONS (Note 3)		
Gross expenditures and transfers out	\$ 483,636,991	\$ 490,087,966
Less: Intrafund expenditure reimbursements	(8,877,720)	(13,333,002)
Net expenditures and transfers out	\$ 474,759,271	\$ 476,754,964
Balances carried forward:		
Encumbrances	\$ 1,862,689	\$ 1,937,945
Restricted revenues - not authorized or used	21,233,578	23,097,859
Total balances carried forward	\$ 23,096,267	\$ 25,035,804
Balances lapsed	\$ 174,074	\$ 4,725,148
Overexpended (Note 4)		(1,369,667)
Total	\$ 498,029,612	\$ 505,146,249

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial schedules.

Notes to the Financial Schedules

Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies

a. Reporting Entity

The accompanying financial schedules report the results of the financial transactions of the Michigan Department of State Police (MSP) for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008. The financial transactions of MSP are accounted for principally in the State's General Fund and are reported on in the State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (SOMCAFR).

The notes accompanying these financial schedules relate directly to MSP. The *SOMCAFR* provides more extensive disclosures regarding the State's significant accounting policies; budgeting, budgetary control, and legal compliance; pension benefits; and other postemployment benefits.

b. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Presentation

The financial schedules contained in this report are presented using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting, as provided by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized as they become susceptible to accrual, generally when they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred; however, certain expenditures related to long-term obligations are recorded only when payment is due and payable.

The accompanying financial schedules present only the revenues and other financing sources and the sources and disposition of authorizations for MSP's General Fund accounts. Accordingly, these financial schedules do not purport to, and do not, constitute a complete financial presentation of either MSP or the State's General Fund in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Note 2 Schedule of General Fund Revenues and Other Financing Sources

MSP receives tax revenue from a portion of the motor vehicle registration tax collected by the Department of State. Local revenue is received for school bus inspections and for reimbursement of leased MSP vehicles and overtime costs in relation to various multi-jurisdictional task forces with local units of Service revenue is received for fees related to background checks, fingerprints, and motor vehicle registration transaction fees collected by the Department of State. License and permit revenue is received for motor vehicle operators and chauffeurs licenses. MSP receives miscellaneous revenue from the Automobile Theft Prevention Fund, which includes assessment fees paid by insurers engaged in writing insurance coverage within the State, as outlined in Section 500.6107 of the Michigan Compiled Laws. Approximately 99% of the revenue received by MSP is restricted revenue. In addition, miscellaneous revenue is received from the Justice System Fund, which includes court fines, fees, and assessments collected by courts. The Justice System Fund revenue distribution is outlined in Section 600.181 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

Act 249, P.A. 2008, and Act 79, P.A. 2009, mandated that \$5.7 million from the State Services Fee Fund be appropriated to the State Forensic Laboratory Fund for fiscal year 2008-09.

Note 3 Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations The various elements of the schedule of sources and disposition of Communications.

The various elements of the schedule of sources and disposition of General Fund authorizations are defined as follows:

- General purpose appropriations: Original appropriations and any supplemental appropriations that are financed by General Fund/general purpose revenues.
- b. Balances carried forward: Authorizations for multi-year projects, encumbrances, restricted revenues authorized, and restricted revenues not authorized or used that were not spent as of the end of the prior fiscal year. These authorizations are available for expenditure in the current fiscal year for the purpose of the carry-forward without additional legislative authorization, except for the restricted revenues not authorized or used.

- c. Restricted financing sources: Collections of restricted revenues, restricted transfers, and restricted intrafund expenditure reimbursements used to finance programs as detailed in the appropriations act. These financing sources are authorized for expenditure up to the amount appropriated. Depending upon program statute, any amounts received in excess of the appropriation are, at year-end, either converted to general purpose financing sources and made available for general appropriation in the next fiscal year or carried forward to the next fiscal year as either restricted revenues authorized or restricted revenues not authorized or used.
- d. Intrafund expenditure reimbursements: Funding from other General Fund departments to finance a program or a portion of a program that is the responsibility of the receiving department. Significant intrafund expenditure reimbursements were automobile theft funds (\$0.9 million and \$1.2 million for fiscal years ended 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively); training academy charges (\$1.9 million and \$1.6 million for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively); training for MSP law enforcement officers (\$1.0 million and \$1.2 million for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively); and casino gaming fees (\$4.1 million for fiscal year 2007-08).
- e. Encumbrances: Authorizations carried forward to finance payments for goods or services ordered during the fiscal year but not received by fiscal year-end. These authorizations are generally limited to obligations funded by general purpose appropriations.
- f. Restricted revenues not authorized or used: Revenues that, by statute, are restricted for use to a particular program or activity. Generally, the expenditure of the restricted revenues is subject to annual legislative appropriation. Significant carry-forwards of this type were for narcotics investigations (\$4.0 million and \$3.3 million for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively); Michigan justice training grants (\$5.5 million and \$6.7 million for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively); laboratory operations (\$2.7 million and \$1.8 million for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively); and at-post troopers (\$40,000 and \$3.7 million for fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively).

- g. Balances lapsed: Authorizations that were unexpended and unobligated at the end of the fiscal year. These amounts are available for legislative appropriation in the subsequent fiscal year. MSP lapsed \$4.7 million during fiscal year 2007-08, of which \$1.5 million resulted from a mid-year decrease in the retirement rate adjustment.
- h. Overexpended: The total net overexpenditure of line-item authorizations.

Note 4 Budgetary Overexpenditures

An overexpenditure of \$1.4 million occurred in fiscal year 2007-08 in the MSP appropriation for Information Technology Services and Projects as a result of the Criminal Justice Information System Policy Council eliminating Law Enforcement Information Network (LEIN) fees charged to users.

In the event that expenditures exceed authorization during a fiscal year, the department must request a supplemental appropriation for the amount overspent if that amount exceeds its lapses or if it expects to make payments from prior year authorization in the next fiscal year.

MSP held hearings with the Legislature to discuss the overexpenditure but was not provided any additional funding. LEIN fees were reinstated during fiscal year 2008-09.

SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL SCHEDULE

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Note 1)
For the Period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2009

			For the Fiscal Year Ended Septemb			embe	nber 30, 2008		
Federal Agency/Program or Cluster	CFDA* Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Expended			stributed to		al Expended d Distributed	
Executive Office of the President Direct Program:									
High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA)	07 **		\$	543,285	\$		\$	543,285	
riigh monony Drug Tramoning mode (Tilb 171)	01		Ψ	0 10,200	Ψ		Ψ	010,200	
Total Executive Office of the President			\$	543,285	\$	0	\$	543,285	
U.S. Department of Agriculture									
Direct Programs:									
Criminal Investigation Section-Electronic Benefits Transfer									
(CIS-EBT) Team	10 **		\$	25,000	\$		\$	25,000	
Forest Service Drug Team	10.09-LE-11091000-030							0	
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$	25,000	\$	0	\$	25,000	
U.S. Department of Commerce									
Direct Programs:									
Applied Meteorological Research	11.468		\$		\$		\$		
Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program	11.555		•	3,379,246	Ť	1,163,735	·	4,542,981	
Total U.S. Department of Commerce			\$	3,379,246	\$	1,163,735	\$	4,542,981	
U.S. Department of Justice									
Direct Programs:									
State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support									
Program (Note 2)	16.007		\$		\$	(35,310)	\$	(35,310)	
Missing Children's Assistance	16.543			278,657		53,171		331,828	
National Criminal History Improvement Program (NCHIP)	16.554			115,880		175,998		291,878	
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and									
Development Project Grants	16.560			226,443				226,443	
Crime Laboratory Improvement - Combined Offender DNA	40.504			400 700				100 700	
Index System Backlog Reduction	16.564			486,726				486,726	
Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement	16.580							0	
Assistance Discretionary Grants Program Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607							0	
Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609			68,567		7,240		75,807	
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710			25,148		80,000		105,148	
Enforcing Underage Drinking Laws Program	16.727			160,155		(41,453)		118,702	
Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program	16.741			1,288,923		(11,100)		1,288,923	
Forensic Casework DNA Backlog Reduction Program	16.743			621,687				621,687	
Convicted Offender and/or Arrestee DNA Backlog Reduction				•				,	
Program (In-house Analysis and Data Review)	16.748			134,570				134,570	
OCDETF Major Case	16.GL-MIW-108			5,585				5,585	
OCDETF (Metro DEA)	16.GL-MIW-0105			8,987				8,987	
COMET OCDETF ATF	16.GL-MIW-0113							0	
Firearms ATF Overtime Reimbursement Task Force	16.09-DET-181-AFF							0	
Southeast Michigan Crimes Against Children (SEMCAC)	16.31E-DE-C100515			39,293				39,293	
Genesee County Safe Streets Task Force	16.281D-DE-C98517			10,862				10,862	
Domestic Cannabis Eradication/Suppression Program (DCE/SP)	16.2009-78			040 500				0	
Domestic Cannabis Eradication/Suppression Program (DCE/SP)	16.2008-78 16.2007-78			312,583				312,583	
Domestic Cannabis Eradication/Suppression Program (DCE/SP) Oakland County Violent Gang Task Force	16.2007-78 16.281D-DE-A102972			71,930				71,930 0	
Upper Peninsula Safe Trails Task Force (UPSTTF)	16.198E-DE-C100655			12,273				12,273	
Cold Case Enhanced Investigative Project (CCEIP)	16.2008-DN-BX-K004			12,210				0	
COPS Digital Image Unit Grant	16.2008-CK-WX-0802							0	
ATF OT 8th District	16 **							0	
ATF/Michigan State Police Department Arson/Explosives Task Force	16 **							0	
ATF Task Force	16 **			11,721				11,721	
Comprehensive Anti Gang Initiative	16 **			•				, 0	
DEA Task Force Group 6	16 **			15,787				15,787	
Detroit Fugitive Apprehension Team (DFAT)	16 **			7,156				7,156	

This schedule continued on next page.

For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 200				30, 2009	Total Expended				
	Directly	D:	atributad ta	Total	al Evpandad	an	d Distributed for the		
E	Directly Expended		stributed to ubrecipients		al Expended d Distributed	Tw	o-Year Period		
			<u> </u>						
\$	356,429	\$		\$	356,429	\$	899,714		
\$	356,429	\$	0	\$	356,429	\$	899,714		
•	00.040	•		•	00.040	•	57.040		
\$	32,349 9,794	\$		\$	32,349 9,794	\$	57,349 9,794		
•		•	0	\$		\$	67,143		
\$	42,143	\$_		<u> </u>	42,143	<u> </u>	07,143		
\$		\$	374,379	\$	374,379	\$	374,379		
	1,772,440		4,506,892		6,279,332		10,822,313		
\$	1,772,440	\$	4,881,271	\$	6,653,711	\$	11,196,692		
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
\$	200 044	\$		\$	0	\$	(35,310)		
	328,344 200,691		99,062		328,344 299,753		660,172 591,631		
			,				,		
	192,580				192,580		419,023		
					0		486,726		
	165,801				165,801		165,801		
	8,081				8,081		8,081		
					0		75,807		
	529,258		194,040		723,298		828,446		
	16,283 2,766,088		230,091		246,374 2,766,088		365,076 4,055,011		
	472,847				472,847		1,094,534		
	112,011				172,017		1,001,001		
	50,630				50,630		185,200		
	3,621				3,621		9,206		
	1 000				1 000		8,987		
	1,088 100,000				1,088 100,000		1,088 100,000		
	61,652				61,652		100,945		
	15,707				15,707		26,569		
	309,973				309,973		309,973		
	77,417				77,417		390,000		
					0		71,930		
	2,251				2,251		2,251		
	55,368				55,368		67,641		
	10,464				10,464		10,464		
	173,647				173,647		173,647		
	6,367				6,367		6,367		
	17,430				17,430		17,430		
	16,014				16,014		27,735		
	17,857				17,857		17,857		
	14,783				14,783		30,570		
	4,243				4,243		11,399		

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Note 1)

For the Period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2009

Continued

			For the Fiscal Year Ended September 3				30, 2008		
Federal Agency/Program or Cluster	<i>CFDA</i> * Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Expended		Distributed to Subrecipients		Total Expended and Distributed		
Detroit Major Crimes Task Force (DMCTF)	16 **		\$	33,080	\$		\$	33,080	
Detroit Violent Gang Task Force (DVGTF)	16 **		φ	24,001	φ		Φ	24,001	
District Fugitive Task Force	16 **			6,748				6,748	
Equitable Sharing of Federally Forfeited Property	16 **			187,721				187,721	
Firearms Investigation Team	16 **			62,667				62,667	
<u> </u>	16 **								
Joint Terrorism Task Force				34,237				34,237	
OCDETF Ice Breaker	16 **			1,038				1,038	
Operation Falcon Fugitive Task Force	16 **			8,282				8,282	
Sex Offender Sweeps	16 **							0	
Straits Area Safe Trails Task Force (SASTTF)	16 **							0	
Weed and Seed Program	16 **							0	
Total Direct Programs			\$	4,260,707	\$	239,646	\$	4,500,353	
Pass-Through Programs:									
Michigan Department of Human Services:									
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	08-IA-01	\$	161,238	\$		\$	161,238	
Detroit Community Justice Partnership:									
Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609		\$		\$		\$	0	
Project Safe Neighborhood	16 **		\$		\$		\$	0	
Project Safe Neighborhood Anti-Gang	16 **		\$ \$		\$		\$	0	
Grand Valley State University:									
Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609	209836-1	\$		\$		\$	0	
Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods	16.609	MSP101	φ	17 107	φ		φ	17,197	
,	10.009	WISF 101	\$	17,197 17,197	\$	0	\$	17,197	
Total Community Prosecution and Project Safe Neighborhoods			Φ	17,197	Φ		Φ	17,197	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program:									
•	16.738	70874-9-08-B	\$	124,712	\$		\$	124,712	
Alpena County Alpena County	16.738	70874-1-09-B	φ	124,712	φ		φ	0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				CE 4E4					
City of Big Rapids	16.738	70894-8-08-B		65,454				65,454	
City of Big Rapids	16.738	70894-9-09-B		4.40.000				0	
Emmet County	16.738	70868-9-08-B		143,206				143,206	
Emmet County	16.738	70868-1-09-B						0	
City of Grand Rapids	16.738	70973-7-08-B		115,243				115,243	
City of Grand Rapids	16.738	70973-8-09-B						0	
City of Lansing	16.738	70901-8-08-B		125,193				125,193	
City of Lansing	16.738	70901-1-09-B						0	
Lapeer County	16.738	70898-8-08-B		57,897				57,897	
Michigan Department of Community Health	16.738	(Note 3)		1,593,328		1,163,783		2,757,111	
Missaukee County	16.738	72315-1-08-B		71,550				71,550	
Missaukee County	16.738	72315-1-09-B						0	
West Michigan Enforcement Team	16.738	70834-9-08-B		58,860				58,860	
West Michigan Enforcement Team	16.738	70834-1-09-B						0	
Total Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program			\$	2,355,443	\$	1,163,783	\$	3,519,226	
Michigan Department of Community Health									
Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program	16.742	20091157	\$		\$		\$	0	
Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program	16.742	20081039		321,542		29,704		351,246	
Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program	16.742	20072237		•		45,298		45,298	
Total Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program			\$	321,542	\$	75,002	\$	396,544	
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program	16.803	20093688	\$		\$		\$		
,		20094064	•		•		*		
		20094106							
			\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	
			<u> </u>				<u> </u>		

This schedule continued on next page.

	For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009						Total Expended and Distributed		
	Directly	Dist	tributed to	Tota	al Expended	a i i	for the		
	Expended		precipients		d Distributed	Two	-Year Period		
	Ехропаса	Cuk	oroorpionio	- and	Diotributou		7 Tour Torrou		
\$	22,997	\$		\$	22,997	\$	56,077		
Ψ	22,461	Ψ		Ψ	22,461	Ψ	46,462		
	8,087				8,087		14,835		
	325,442				325,442		513,163		
	101,582				101,582		164,249		
	28,237				28,237		62,474		
					0		1,038		
					0		8,282		
	20,000				20,000		20,000		
	2,426				2,426		2,426		
	482				482		482		
\$	6,150,199	\$	523,193	\$	6,673,392	\$	11,173,745		
\$	153,846	\$		\$	153,846	\$	315,084		
\$	28,899	\$		\$	28,899	\$	28,899		
\$	44,046	\$		\$	44,046	\$	44,046		
\$	57,105	\$		\$	57,105	\$	57,105		
φ	57,105	Ψ		Ψ	57,105	Ψ	37,103		
\$	31,269	\$		\$	31,269	\$	31,269		
	13,605				13,605		30,802		
\$	44,874	\$		\$	44,874	\$	62,071		
•		æ		æ	0	•	104 740		
\$	50.040	\$		\$	0	\$	124,712		
	59,642				59,642		59,642		
					0		65,454		
	28,577				28,577		28,577		
					0		143,206		
	62,527				62,527		62,527		
					0		115,243		
	57,209				57,209		57,209		
					0		125,193		
	68,863				68,863		68,863		
					0		57,897		
	991,694		831,845		1,823,539		4,580,650		
	,		,		0		71,550		
	31,294				31,294		31,294		
	01,20 F				0		58,860		
	25,518				25,518		25,518		
\$	1,325,324	\$	831,845	\$	2,157,169	\$	5,676,395		
Ψ	1,020,024	Ψ	031,043	Ψ	2,137,103	Ψ	3,070,393		
\$	283,999	\$			283,999	\$	283,999		
Ψ		Ψ				Ψ			
	31,329				31,329		382,575		
Φ	24F 220	¢.	0	Φ.	215 220	•	45,298		
\$	315,328	\$	0	\$	315,328	\$	711,872		
\$	2,311,385	\$		\$	2,311,385	\$	2,311,385		
	20,053				20,053		20,053		
	4,682				4,682		4,682		
\$	2,336,120	\$	0	\$	2,336,120	\$	2,336,120		
Ψ	2,000,120	Ψ		Ψ	2,000,120	<u> </u>	2,000,120		

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Note 1)

For the Period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2009

Continued

			For the Fisca	er 30, 2008			
Federal Agency/Program or Cluster	CFDA* Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Expended		Distributed to Subrecipients		al Expended d Distributed
City of Jackson:							
Project Safe Neighborhood	16 **		\$	\$		\$	
Total Pass-Through Programs			\$ 2,855,420	\$	1,238,785	\$	4,094,205
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$ 7,116,127	\$	1,478,431	\$	8,594,558
U.S. Department of Transportation							
Highway Safety Cluster:							
Direct Programs:							
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600		\$ 3,340,364	\$	3,948,038	\$	7,288,402
Alcohol Impaired Driving Countermeasures Incentive Grants I	20.601		1,626,559		1,447,345		3,073,904
Occupant Protection Incentive Grants	20.602		1,371,452		665,276		2,036,728
Safety Incentive Grants for Use of Seatbelts	20.604		14,393		315,047		329,440
Safety Incentives to Prevent Operation of Motor Vehicles							
by Intoxicated Persons	20.605		139,862		577,261		717,123
Safety Belt Performance Grants	20.609		2,717,744		1,713,109		4,430,853
State Traffic Safety Information System Improvement Grants	20.610		_,,		63,851		63,851
Incentive Grant Program to Increase Motorcyclist Safety	20.612				159,178		159,178
Total Highway Safety Cluster	20.012		\$ 9,210,374	\$	8,889,105	\$	18,099,479
Direct Programs:							
National Motor Carrier Safety	20.218		\$ 5,872,701	\$		\$	5,872,701
Border Enforcement Grants	20.233		2,005,055	φ		φ	2,005,055
Interagency Hazardous Materials Public Sector Training and	20.233		2,005,055				2,005,055
	20.703		200.000		120 402		224 202
Planning Grants			200,900		130,493		331,393
Transportation Planning, Research and Education Total Direct Programs	20.931		\$ 8,082,360	\$	130,493	\$	3,704 8,212,853
Dogo Through Program:							
Pass-Through Program:							
Michigan Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction	20.205		\$	\$		\$	0
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			\$ 17,292,734	\$	9,019,598	\$	26,312,332
U.S. Department of Treasury							
Direct Programs:							
Operation Snake Trap Task Force	21.GL-MIW-0117		\$	\$		\$	0
ATF/MSP Arson/Explosives Task Force	21**		12,582	Ψ		Ψ	12,582
•							
Equitable Sharing of Federally Forfeited Property	21**		10,320				10,320
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			\$ 22,902	\$	0	\$	22,902
Federal Emergency Management Agency (Note 2)							
Direct Programs:							
Public Assistance Grants	83.544		\$ 26	\$		\$	26
Hazard Mitigation Grant	83.548		(121,883)		1,214,246		1,092,363
Emergency Management Performance Grants	83.552		\$		(5,764)		(5,764)
Pre-Disaster Mitigation	83.557		(43,219)				(43,219)
Total Federal Emergency Management Agency			\$ (165,076)	\$	1,208,482	\$	1,043,406
U.S. Department of Education							
Direct Program:							
ARRA - State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) - Government Services, Recovery Act	84.397		\$	\$		\$	0
Notice of the second of the se	∪ + .331		Ψ	φ		φ	U
Total U.S. Department of Education			\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0

This schedule continued on next page.

	For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009					Total Expended and Distributed				
	Directly	Di	stributed to	Tot	al Expended	an	for the			
	Expended		ubrecipients		d Distributed	Tw	o-Year Period			
\$	2,007	\$		\$	2,007	\$	2,007			
\$	4,307,549	\$	831,845	\$	5,139,394	\$	9,233,599			
\$	10,457,748	\$	1,355,038	\$	11,812,786	\$	20,407,344			
\$	4,292,749	\$	2,661,488	\$	6,954,237	\$	14,242,639			
	2,824,937		1,858,075		4,683,012		7,756,916			
	58,870		860,228		919,098		2,955,826			
					0		329,440			
	141,577		264,433		406,010		1,123,133			
	992,824		884,762		1,877,586		6,308,439			
	572,359		2,095,536		2,667,895		2,731,746			
			139,729		139,729		298,907			
\$	8,883,316	\$	8,764,251	\$	17,647,567	\$	35,747,046			
\$	6,053,918	\$		\$	6,053,918	\$	11,926,619			
*	618,781	Ψ		Ψ	618,781	•	2,623,836			
	,						_,==,,===			
	375,279		191,944		567,223		898,616			
_	80,351	_			80,351	_	84,055			
\$	7,128,329	\$	191,944	\$	7,320,273	\$	15,533,126			
\$	25 400	\$		\$	25 400	\$	25 400			
Ψ	35,400	Ψ		Ψ	35,400	Ψ	35,400			
\$	16,047,045	\$	8,956,195	\$	25,003,240	\$	51,315,572			
•	2.446	æ		•	2.446	æ	0.446			
\$	2,446	\$		\$	2,446 0	\$	2,446 12,582			
	47,910				47,910		58,230			
\$	50,356	\$	0	\$	50,356	\$	73,258			
<u> </u>	00,000	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	00,000	<u> </u>	70,200			
\$		\$	(205,330)	\$	(205,330)	\$	(205,304)			
Ψ	79,540	Ψ	(449,601)	Ψ	(370,061)	Ψ	722,302			
	73,540		(445,001)		(370,001)		(5,764)			
					0		(43,219)			
_		_					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
\$	79,540	\$	(654,931)	\$	(575,391)	\$	468,015			
\$	98,100,000	\$		\$	98,100,000	\$	98,100,000			
Ψ_	, ,				22,120,000		11,10,000			
\$	98,100,000	\$	0	\$	98,100,000	\$	98,100,000			

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Note 1) For the Period October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2009

Continued

			For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2008				
Federal Agency/Program or Cluster	CFDA* Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Distributed to Expended Subrecipients		Total Expended and Distributed		
U.S. Department of Homeland Security							
Homeland Security Cluster:							
Direct Programs:							
State Domestic Preparedness Equipment Support							
Program (Note 2)	97.004		\$	\$ (427)	\$	(427)	
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067		6,660,646	29,488,925		36,149,571	
Total Homeland Security Cluster			\$ 6,660,646	\$ 29,488,498	\$	36,149,144	
Direct Programs:							
Pilot Demonstration or Earmark Projects	97.001		\$	\$	\$	0	
Urban Areas Security Initiative	97.008			283,396		283,396	
Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) Competitive Grants	97.017			477,510		477,510	
Flood Mitigation Assistance	97.029			17,143		17,143	
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially							
Declared Disasters) (Note 2)	97.036		301,731	8,638,826		8,940,557	
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039		1,976	235,765		237,741	
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042		3,832,719	2,213,807		6,046,526	
Rail and Transit Security Grant Program	97.075			317,826		317,826	
Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)	97.078		278	123,542		123,820	
Repetitive Food Claims	97.092			9,650		9,650	
Southeastern Michigan Financial Crimes Task Force	97**					0	
Total Direct Programs			\$ 4,136,704	\$ 12,317,465	\$	16,454,169	
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			\$ 10,797,350	\$ 41,805,963	\$	52,603,313	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 39,011,568	\$ 54,676,209	\$	93,687,777	

 $^{^{}st}$ CFDA is defined as Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

^{**} CFDA number is not available. Number derived from federal agency number.

	For the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009						Total Expended	
Directly Expended		Distributed to Subrecipients		Total Expended and Distributed		and Distributed for the Two-Year Period		
•		•		_		•	(10=)	
\$	F CC4 007	\$	0.000.007	\$	0	\$	(427)	
\$	5,664,987 5,664,987		0,268,997	\$	25,933,984 25,933,984	\$	62,083,555 62,083,128	
Φ	5,004,907	φZ	0,200,997	φ	25,955,964	Φ	02,003,120	
\$		\$	2,042	\$	2,042	\$	2,042	
•		•	245,111	•	245,111	•	528,507	
	500		•		500		478,010	
					0		17,143	
	217,621		5,056,015		5,273,636		14,214,193	
	2,524		1,664		4,188		241,929	
	4,433,541		2,840,902		7,274,443		13,320,969	
			445,060		445,060		762,886	
	96		3,814,822		3,814,918		3,938,738	
					0		9,650	
	50,556				50,556		50,556	
\$	4,704,838	\$ 1	2,405,616	\$	17,110,454	\$	33,564,623	
\$	10,369,825	\$ 3	2,674,613	\$	43,044,438	\$	95,647,751	
\$	137,275,526	\$ 4	7,212,186	\$	184,487,712	\$	278,175,489	

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

This schedule presents the federal grant activity for the Michigan Department of State Police (MSP) on the modified accrual basis of accounting and in accordance with the requirements of the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial schedules. MSP receives some federal grants as a subgrantee of another State department and also distributes some federal grants to other State departments as a pass-through entity*. In these cases, the transfer of the financing is recorded in the MSP accounting records as an expenditure credit or as a revenue debit. As a result, the amounts reported as expended on this schedule differ from the amounts reported as federal revenue in the schedule of General Fund revenues and other financing sources.

Note 2 U.S. Department of Homeland Security Grants

Grants from the Federal Emergency Management Agency and certain grants from the U.S. Department of Justice are now awarded through the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. In accordance with OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement, Part 4 - Agency Program Requirements, the awards are shown under the federal agency that originally awarded the funds.

Note 3 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program

Pass-through identification numbers for the Michigan Department of Community Health's Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program (*CFDA* 16.738) are:

Fiscal year 2007-08:

70768-2-08-B	70771-1-08-B	70844-9-08-B	70859-9-08-B
70888-9-08-B	70889-9-08-B	70909-8-08-B	70978-7-08-B
72082-6-08-B	72093-6-08-B	72197-4-08-B	72239-3-08-B

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

Fiscal year 2008-09:

70768-3-09-B	70844-1-09-B	70859-1-09-B	70888-1-09-B
70889-1-09-B	70909-9-09-B	70978-8-09-B	72082-7-09-B
72093-7-09-B	72109-6-09-B	72197-5-09-B	72239-4-09-B
72314-2-09-B			

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE



STATE OF MICHIGAN OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL 201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE LANSING, MICHIGAN 48913

(517) 334-8050 FAX (517) 334-8079

THOMAS H. MCTAVISH, C.P.A.
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters

Colonel Eddie L. Washington, Jr., Director Michigan Department of State Police 333 South Grand Avenue Lansing, Michigan

Dear Colonel Washington:

We have audited the financial schedules of the Michigan Department of State Police for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, as identified in the table of contents, and have issued our report thereon dated June 10, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Department's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial schedules, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Department's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Department's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed in the next paragraph, we identified a deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than

a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial schedules that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in Finding 1 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial schedules will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the significant deficiency described in the third paragraph of this section is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Department's financial schedules are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial schedule amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we noted other instances of noncompliance as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Finding 2.

The Department's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying corrective action plan. We did not audit the Department's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, the Legislature, management, others within the Department, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

AUDITOR GENERAL

June 10, 2010



STATE OF MICHIGAN OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL 201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE

LANSING, MICHIGAN 48913 (517) 334-8050 FAX (517) 334-8079

THOMAS H. MCTAVISH, C.P.A.
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

Colonel Eddie L. Washington, Jr., Director Michigan Department of State Police 333 South Grand Avenue Lansing, Michigan

Dear Colonel Washington:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Michigan Department of State Police with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each major federal program for the two-year period ended September 30, 2009. The Department's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each major federal program is the responsibility of the Department's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Department's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to in the preceding paragraph that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Department's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Department's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Michigan Department of State Police complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to in the first paragraph that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the two-year period ended September 30, 2009. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 3 through 7.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Department is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Department's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Department's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the Department's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 3 through 7 to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We did not consider any of the deficiencies described in the preceding paragraph to be material weaknesses.

The Department's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying corrective action plan. We did not audit the Department's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor, the Legislature, management, others within the Department, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

AUDITOR GENERAL

June 10, 2010

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Schedules

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unqualified*

Internal control* over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses* identified?

Significant deficiencies* identified that are not considered to be

material weaknesses?

Noncompliance or other matters material to the financial schedules?

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses* identified?

Significant deficiencies* identified that are not considered to be

material weaknesses?

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Circular A-133, Section 510(a)?

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	
11.555	Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program	
16.007/97.004 and 97.067	Homeland Security Cluster	
16.738	Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	
16.741	Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program	

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

20.218	National Motor Carrier Safety
20.600, 20.601, 20.602, 20.604, 20.605, 20.609, 20.610, and 20.612	Highway Safety Cluster
83.544/97.036 83.544 97.036	Public Assistance Grants Disaster Grants - Public Assistance (Presidentially Declared Disasters)
84.397	ARRA - State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) Government Services, Recovery Act
97.042	Emergency Management Performance Grants

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$3,000,000

Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)

Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee*?

97.078

No

Section II: Findings Related to the Financial Schedules

FINDING (5511001)

Internal Control Over Payroll Processing

The Michigan Department of State Police (MSP) should improve its internal control over the processing of payroll transactions. Without sufficient internal control over payroll processing, there is a greater risk that unauthorized payroll transactions may occur.

Part VIII, Chapter 7 of the State of Michigan Financial Management Guide identifies requirements and provides guidance for users of the State's Data Collection and Distribution System (DCDS) to help ensure an appropriate segregation of duties for payroll transaction processing. DCDS procedures manual provides guidance for the appropriate segregation of duties through the use of user identification codes, passwords, and limitations on the user capabilities assigned.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

MSP had payroll expenditures of \$305.7 million and \$301.6 million during fiscal years 2008-09 and 2007-08, respectively.

We tested 189 payroll transactions and noted control deficiencies in 21 (11%) of the transactions. MSP processed these 189 transactions within 60 separate timekeeping units. We noted control exceptions in 14 (23%) of the timekeeping units.

Our review of payroll activity disclosed:

- a. Three paper time sheets were not signed by the employee and three additional time sheets were not signed by the supervisor. MSP's internal control requires employees without DCDS access to complete and sign time sheets that their supervisors must approve and sign. However, MSP did not verify that time sheets included all signatures.
- b. Twelve time sheets were each submitted and certified by the same person. MSP's internal control requires a person other than the timekeeper to certify the accuracy of the time sheets. However, in these instances, MSP did not monitor the segregation of duties.
- c. Three time sheets were entered using the wrong shift premium. MSP's internal control to ensure the accuracy of the payroll data submitted in DCDS requires reviews by timekeepers and certifiers. However, MSP did not detect the errors.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP improve its internal control over the processing of payroll transactions.

FINDING (5511002)

2. Expenditures in Excess of Authorization

MSP did not prevent expenditures in excess of a line-item authorization. As a result, MSP was not in compliance with Section 18.1395 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws*.

In fiscal year 2007-08, MSP incurred expenditures of \$1.4 million in excess of its authorization for the Information Technology Services and Projects line item. However, MSP did not exceed its total department authorization of \$505.1 million.

Section 18.1395 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* states that appropriation line items in a budget act financed from federal, State restricted, local, or private funding authorize spending only for the amount of the funds actually earned up to the amount appropriated. When an appropriation line item that is financed from federal, State restricted, local, or private funding sources is earning funds less than the appropriated amount, the department shall reduce the overall level of expenditures from the appropriation line item to reflect the estimated funding shortfall.

MSP indicated that it exceeded the authorization as a result of the Criminal Justice Information System Policy Council's decision to eliminate user fees for authorized local use of the Law Enforcement Information Network (LEIN). The fiscal year 2007-08 LEIN budget was established at \$9,950,556, with local funding estimated at \$2,761,182. MSP informed us that it no longer had the authority to collect user fees from local users and could not sufficiently reduce the costs of maintaining and operating LEIN without affecting public safety. LEIN allows access to criminal justice information, including access to warrant, stolen property, and criminal history information.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP prevent expenditures in excess of line-item authorizations.

The status of the findings related to the financial schedules that were reported in prior Single Audits* is disclosed in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

Section III: Findings and Questioned Costs* Related to Federal Awards

FINDING (5511003)

3. <u>Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program,</u> *CFDA* 11.555

U.S. Department of Commerce	CFDA 11.555: Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program
Award Number:	Award Period:
2007-GS-H7-0043	10/01/2007 - 09/30/2011
	Known Questioned Costs: \$0

MSP's internal control over the Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program (PSIC Grant Program) did not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

Internal control that does not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations could result in sanctions, disallowances, and/or future reductions of PSIC Grant Program awards.

Federal expenditures for the PSIC Grant Program totaled \$10.8 million for the twoyear period ended September 30, 2009.

PSIC federal program guidelines require the submission of a Biannual Strategy Implementation Report (BSIR). The BSIR must provide updated obligation and expenditure information to show progress made toward meeting strategic goals and objectives based on six investment categories.

MSP did not ensure the accuracy of expenditures reported for the period ended June 30, 2009. MSP prepared the BSIR from expenditure data on subrecipient* payment tracking spreadsheets. However, MSP did not verify the amounts

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

reported to the supporting documentation. As a result, MSP misstated expenditures by investment categories on the BSIR as follows:

Investment Category 2	\$ 384,410	Overstated
Investment Category 3	\$ 146,153	Overstated
Investment Category 4	\$ 445,174	Overstated
Investment Category 5	\$1,032,186	Understated

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP improve its internal control over the PSIC Grant Program to ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

FINDING (5511004)

4. Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program, CFDA 16.741

U.S. Department of Justice	CFDA 16.741: Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program
Award Number:	Award Period:
2006-DN-BX-K132	10/01/2006 - 04/30/2009
2007-DN-BX-K083	10/01/2007 - 03/31/2010
2008-DN-BX-K112	10/01/2008 - 03/31/2010
	Known Questioned Costs: \$0

MSP's internal control did not ensure that it maintained sufficient documentation to support the information reported in its semiannual progress reports for the Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program.

Internal control that does not ensure compliance with federal regulations could result in sanctions, disallowances, and/or future reductions of program awards.

Federal expenditures for the Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program totaled \$4.1 million for the two-year period ended September 30, 2009.

Title 28, Part 66, section 42 of the *Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)* requires programmatic records, supporting documents, and other records which are reasonably considered as pertinent to program regulations or grant agreements to be retained for three years from the date the grantee submits its final report.

MSP informed us that the data provided in the progress reports was taken from the Forensic Advantage and the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) real-time information systems. MSP did not maintain some of the data used to prepare the reports. As a result, the data from the information systems was updated and the report information could not be reproduced.

Our review of MSP's semiannual progress reports disclosed that the MSP did not maintain sufficient documentation to support the data reported in all 10 (100%) semiannual progress reports submitted during fiscal years 2007-08 and 2008-09.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP improve its internal control to ensure that it maintains sufficient documentation to support the information reported in its semiannual progress reports for the Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program.

FINDING (5511005)

5. National Motor Carrier Safety, CFDA 20.218

U.S. Department of Transportation	CFDA 20.218: National Motor Carrier Safety
Award Number:	Award Period:
MN-07-26-1	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2008
RH-07-26-1	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2008
27XX26MC082615780	10/01/2007 - 09/30/2008
27XX26MN082615780	10/01/2007 - 09/30/2009
MR-08-26-01-G-00000	05/01/2008 - 10/31/2009
MC092610000000	10/01/2008 - 09/30/2010
	Known Questioned Costs: \$0

MSP's internal control over the National Motor Carrier Safety Program did not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

Internal control that does not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations could result in sanctions, disallowances, and/or future reductions of National Motor Carrier Safety Program awards.

Federal expenditures for the National Motor Carrier Safety Program totaled \$11.9 million for the two-year period ended September 30, 2009.

Federal regulation 49 *CFR* 18.42 requires grantees to retain programmatic reports, supporting documents, statistical records, and other records for three years from the date of the related expenditure report.

Federal regulation 49 *CFR* 18.40 requires grantees to compare actual outcomes to the objectives established for the period. MSP compiled data for actual outcomes to the established objectives. Our review of 8 of 40 objectives from 4 of the 8 Commercial Vehicle Safety Plan quarterly performance reports identified the following exceptions:

- a. MSP did not maintain supporting documents for all or a portion of 4 (50%) of the 8 objectives reviewed.
- b. MSP's documentation did not support the statistics reported in 5 (63%) of the 8 objectives reviewed.

MSP compiled the quarterly reports from information tracked by various sources. However, MSP did not always maintain supporting documentation or verify the accuracy of the reports.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP improve its internal control over the National Motor Carrier Safety Program to ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

FINDING (5511006)

6. <u>Emergency Management Performance Grants, CFDA 97.042</u>

U.S. Department of Homeland Security	CFDA 97.042: Emergency Management Performance Grants
Award Number:	Award Period:
EMC-2003-GR-7003	10/01/2002 - 03/31/2004
2007-EM-E7-0034	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2008
2007-EM-E7-0082	09/01/2007 - 08/31/2009
2008-EM-E8-0021	10/01/2007 - 09/30/2009
2009-EP-E9-0003	10/01/2008 - 09/30/2010
	Known Questioned Costs: \$0

MSP's internal control over the Emergency Management Performance Grants (EMPG) did not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

Internal control that does not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations could result in sanctions, disallowances, and/or future reductions of EMPG awards.

Federal expenditures for EMPG totaled \$13.3 million for the two-year period ended September 30, 2009.

EMPG federal program guidelines require the submission of a BSIR. The BSIR must provide updated obligation and expenditure information to show progress made toward meeting strategic goals and objectives.

MSP did not ensure the accuracy of EMPG's 2008 BSIR submitted for the reporting period ended June 30, 2009. MSP prepared the BSIR based on expenditure data obtained from financial tracking sheets. However, MSP did not verify the reported amounts to the supporting documentation. As a result, the BSIR did not include \$4.1 million of expenditures, understated expenditures for two subrecipients by approximately \$17,000, and overstated expenditures for one subrecipient by approximately \$6,000.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP improve its internal control over EMPG to ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

FINDING (5511007)

7. Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP), CFDA 97.078

U.S. Department of Homeland Security	CFDA 97.078: Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)
Award Number:	Award Period:
2006-BZ-T6-0024	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2009
2006-BZ-T6-0057	10/01/2006 - 09/30/2009
2007-BZ-T7-0005	07/01/2007 - 06/30/2010
2008-BZ-T8-0041	09/01/2008 - 08/31/2011
	Known Questioned Costs: \$0

MSP's internal control over the Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP) did not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

Internal control that does not ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations could result in sanctions, disallowances, and/or future reductions of BZPP awards.

Federal expenditures for BZPP totaled \$3.9 million for the two-year period ended September 30, 2009.

BZPP federal program guidelines require the submission of a BSIR. The BSIR must provide updated obligation and expenditure information to show progress made toward meeting strategic goals and objectives.

MSP did not ensure the accuracy of the BSIR submitted for the reporting period ended June 30, 2009. MSP prepared the BSIR from expenditure data on subrecipient payment tracking spreadsheets. However, MSP did not verify the reported amounts to the supporting documentation. As a result, MSP understated expenditures reported in the BSIR by \$232,661 for the 2006 grant and \$110,880 for the 2007 grant.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that MSP improve its internal control over BZPP to ensure compliance with federal laws and regulations regarding reporting.

The status of the findings related to federal awards that were reported in prior Single Audits is disclosed in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

OTHER SCHEDULES

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings As of June 10, 2010

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

Audit Findings Not Corrected or Partially Corrected:

Audit Period: October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2007

Finding Number: 5510801

Finding Title: Expenditures in Excess of Authorization

Finding: The Michigan Department of State Police's (MSP's) internal

control did not prevent expenditures in excess of line-item appropriations. As a result, MSP was not in compliance with

Section 18.1371 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

Agency Comments: MSP implemented additional budgetary controls and monitoring

procedures.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS

Audit Findings That Have Been Fully Corrected:

Audit Period: October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2007

Finding Number: 5510802

Finding Title: OMB Circular A-133 Subrecipient Audit Requirements

Finding: MSP's internal control did not ensure that its subrecipients

complied with the audit requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Also, MSP's internal control did not ensure that it issued

management decisions on subrecipients' audit findings within the time frame required by OMB Circular A-133. As a result, MSP cannot be assured that the subrecipients complied with audit requirements and were spending the federal funds in accordance with federal regulations and grant agreements.

Agency Comments:

MSP acquired an additional part-time resource person to obtain required audit reports and to issue management decisions.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE POLICE

Corrective Action Plan
As of June 10, 2010

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

Finding Number: 5511001

Finding Title: Internal Control Over Payroll Processing

Management Views: The Michigan Department of State Police (MSP)

agrees with this finding.

Planned Corrective Action: MSP will issue correspondence addressing the

importance of requiring the signatures of both the employee and the supervisor on all paper time sheets (PD-89) and ensuring the accuracy of payroll data. MSP will also audit the roles of all timekeepers and certifiers to ensure that the roles are not assigned to

the same individuals.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 30, 2010

Responsible Individual: Debra Gilmore, Director of Human Resources Division

Finding Number: 5511002

Finding Title: Expenditures in Excess of Authorizations

Management Views: MSP agrees with this finding.

Planned Corrective Action: MSP has implemented a reduction in expenditures and

additional budget monitoring. In the event that revenues are not sufficient, MSP will request supplemental budget appropriations or reduce expenditures to ensure that expenditures are not in

excess of line-item appropriations.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 30, 2010

Responsible Individual: Melanie Oudsema, Chief Accountant, Budget and

Financial Services Division (BFSD)

FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS

Finding Number: 5511003

Finding Title: Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant

Program, CFDA 11.555

Management Views: MSP agrees with this finding to improve internal

control over reporting.

Planned Corrective Action: The Emergency Management and Homeland Security

Division (EMHSD) will develop a procedure to verify reported amounts in the Biannual Strategy Implementation Report (BSIR) to the supporting

documentation.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 30, 2010

Responsible Individual: James Reardon, Local Emergency Planning and

National Incident Management System (NIMS)

Specialist, EMHSD

Finding Number: 5511004

Finding Title: Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program,

CFDA 16.741

Management Views: MSP agrees with this finding.

Planned Corrective Action: The Forensic Science Division will ensure that

sufficient documentation is maintained to support the

progress reports.

Anticipated Completion Date: July 31, 2010

Responsible Individuals: Abby Meyer, Grant Manager, Forensic Science

Division

Jeff Nye, DNA Technical Leader, Forensic Science

Division

Finding Number: 5511005

Finding Title: National Motor Carrier Safety, CFDA 20.218

Management Views: MSP agrees with this finding.

Planned Corrective Action: The Traffic Services Division (TSD) will develop a

process to verify the data in the performance reports to supporting documentation. TSD will also ensure that sufficient documentation is maintained to support

performance reports.

Anticipated Completion Date: June 30, 2010

Responsible Individual: Inspector Brenda Dietrich, Assistant Division

Commander, TSD

Finding Number: 5511006

Finding Title: Emergency Management Performance Grants,

CFDA 97.042

Management Views: MSP agrees with this finding to improve internal

control over reporting.

Planned Corrective Action: EMHSD will develop a procedure to verify the reported

amounts in the BSIR to the supporting documentation.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 30, 2010

Responsible Individual: James Reardon, Local Emergency Planning and NIMS

Specialist, EMHSD

Finding Number: 5511007

Finding Title: Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP), CFDA 97.078

Management Views: MSP agrees with this finding to improve internal

control over reporting.

Planned Corrective Action: EMHSD will develop a procedure to verify the reported

amounts in the BSIR to the supporting documentation.

Anticipated Completion Date: September 30, 2010

Responsible Individual: James Reardon, Local Emergency Planning and NIMS

Specialist, EMHSD

GLOSSARY

Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

BFSD Budget and Financial Services Division.

BSIR Biannual Strategy Implementation Report.

BZPP Buffer Zone Protection Program.

CFDA Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

CFR Code of Federal Regulations.

control deficiency in internal control over federal program compliance

The design or operation of a control that does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect on a timely basis noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program.

control deficiency in internal control over financial reporting The design or operation of a control that does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis.

DCDS Data Collection and Distribution System.

DNA deoxyribonucleic acid.

EMHSD Emergency Management and Homeland Security Division.

EMPG Emergency Management Performance Grants.

financial audit An audit that is designed to provide reasonable assurance

about whether the financial schedules and/or financial statements of an audited entity are presented fairly in all material respects in conformity with the disclosed basis of

accounting.

internal control

A process, effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of the entity's objectives with regard to the reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

LEIN

Law Enforcement Information Network.

low-risk auditee

As provided for in OMB Circular A-133, an auditee that may qualify for reduced federal audit coverage if it receives an annual Single Audit and it meets other criteria related to prior audit results. In accordance with State statute, this Single Audit was conducted on a biennial basis; consequently, this auditee is not considered a low-risk auditee.

material misstatement

A misstatement in the financial schedules and/or financial statements that causes the schedules and/or statements to not present fairly the financial position or the changes in financial position or cash flows in conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting.

material weakness in internal control over federal program compliance A significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected.

material weakness in internal control over financial reporting A significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial schedules and/or financial statements will not be prevented or detected.

MSP

Michigan Department of State Police.

NIMS

National Incident Management System.

OMB

U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

other noncompliance

Violations of contracts or grant agreements that are not material to the financial schedules or financial statements but should be communicated to management in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. Other noncompliance also includes violations of laws, regulations, contracts, or grant agreements; fraud; abuse; or other internal control deficiencies that may be communicated to management in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

pass-through entity

A nonfederal entity that provides a federal award to a subrecipient to carry out a federal program.

PSIC Grant Program

Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program.

questioned cost

A cost that is questioned by the auditor because of an audit finding: (1) which resulted from a violation or possible violation of a provision of a law, regulation, contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement or document governing the use of federal funds, including funds used to match federal funds; (2) where the costs, at the time of the audit, are not supported by adequate documentation; or (3) where the costs incurred appear unreasonable and do not reflect the actions a prudent person would take in the circumstances.

significant deficiency in internal control over federal program compliance A control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected.

significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting A control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in

accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial schedules and/or financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected.

Single Audit

A financial audit, performed in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, that is designed to meet the needs of all federal grantor agencies and other financial report users. In addition to performing the audit in accordance with the requirements of auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, a Single Audit requires the assessment of compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program and the consideration of internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

SOMCAFR

State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

subrecipient

A nonfederal entity that expends federal awards received from another nonfederal entity to carry out a federal program.

TSD

Traffic Services Division.

unqualified opinion

An auditor's opinion in which the auditor states that:

- a. The financial schedules and/or financial statements presenting the basic financial information of the audited agency are fairly presented in conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting; or
- b. The financial schedules and/or financial statements presenting supplemental financial information are fairly

stated in relation to the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements. In issuing an "in relation to" opinion, the auditor has applied auditing procedures to the supplemental financial schedules and/or financial statements to the extent necessary to form an opinion on the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements, but did not apply auditing procedures to the extent that would be necessary to express an opinion on the supplemental financial schedules and/or financial statements taken by themselves; or

c. The audited agency complied, in all material respects, with the cited requirements that are applicable to each major federal program.

