FINANCIAL AUDIT INCLUDING THE PROVISIONS OF THE SINGLE AUDIT ACT OF THE

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001



Michigan

Office of the Auditor General REPORT SUMMARY

Financial Audit

Report Number: 47-100-02

Including the Provisions of the Single Audit Act October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001

Department of Corrections (DOC)

Released: June 2002

A Single Audit is designed to meet the needs of all financial report users, including an entity's federal grantor agencies. The audit determines if the financial schedules and/or financial statements are fairly presented; considers internal control over financial reporting and internal control over federal program compliance; determines compliance with State compliance requirements material to the financial schedules and/or financial statements; and assesses compliance with direct and material requirements of the major federal programs.

Financial Schedules:

Auditor's Report Issued

We issued an unqualified opinion on DOC's financial schedules.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We did not identify any material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. However, we did identify reportable conditions (Findings 1 and 2).

Noncompliance Material to the Financial Schedules

We did not identify any instances of noncompliance applicable to the financial schedules that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Federal Awards:

Auditor's Reports Issued on Compliance

We audited 4 programs as major programs and issued 2 unqualified opinions and 2 qualified opinions. The types of opinions issued by federal program are identified on the back of this summary.

Internal Control Over Major Programs

We identified material weaknesses in internal control over major programs (Findings 3 and 4).

Required Reporting of Noncompliance

We identified instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 (Findings 3 and 4).

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Systems of Accounting and Internal Control:

We determined that DOC was in substantial compliance with Sections

18.1483 - 18.1487 of the *Michigan Compiled Laws*.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

We audited the following programs as major programs:

		Compliance
CFDA Number	Program Title	Opinion
16.586	Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing Incentive Grants	Unqualified
16.593	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners	Qualified
16.606	State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	Unqualified
84.002	Adult Education - State Grant Program	Qualified

A copy of the full report can be obtained by calling 517.334.8050 or by visiting our Web site at: www.state.mi.us/audgen/



Michigan Office of the Auditor General 201 N. Washington Square Lansing, Michigan 48913

> Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A Auditor General

James S. Neubecker, C.P.A., C.I.A., D.P.A. Executive Deputy Auditor General

Michael J. Mayhew, C.P.A.
Deputy Auditor General for Audits

June 13, 2002

Mr. William S. Overton, Director Department of Corrections Grandview Plaza Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Overton:

This is our report on the financial audit, including the provisions of the Single Audit Act, of the Department of Corrections (DOC) for the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001.

This report contains our report summary; our independent auditor's report on the financial schedules; and the DOC financial schedules, notes to the financial schedules, and supplemental financial schedules. This report also contains our independent auditor's reports on compliance and on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and on internal control over compliance in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 and our schedule of findings and questioned costs. In addition, this report contains DOC's summary schedule of prior audit findings, its corrective action plan, and a glossary of acronyms and terms.

Our findings and recommendations are contained in Section II and Section III of the schedule of findings and questioned costs. The agency preliminary responses are contained in the corrective action plan. The *Michigan Compiled Laws* and administrative procedures require that the audited agency develop a formal response within 60 days after release of the audit report.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us during this audit.

AUDITOR GENERAL

This page left intentionally blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

INTRODUCTION

	<u>Page</u>
Report Summary	1
Report Letter	3
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT AND FINANCIAL SCHEDULES	
Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Schedules	7
Department of Corrections Financial Schedules	
Schedule of General Fund Revenue and Transfers	9
Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations	10
Notes to the Financial Schedules	11
SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL SCHEDULES	
Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations by Appropriation Unit - Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2001	16
Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations by Appropriation Unit - Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000	18
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	20
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS	
ON COMPLIANCE AND INTERNAL CONTROL	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	24

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	26
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results	29
Section II: Findings Related to the Financial Schedules	30
1. Encumbrances	30
2. Payroll Transactions	32
Section III: Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards	33
3. Equipment	33
 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners (RSAT) Case Files 	34
OTHER SCHEDULES	
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	37
Corrective Action Plan	40
GLOSSARY	
Glossary of Acronyms and Terms	43

Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Schedules

February 22, 2002

Mr. William S. Overton, Director Department of Corrections Grandview Plaza Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Overton:

We have audited the accompanying schedule of General Fund revenue and transfers and the schedule of sources and disposition of General Fund authorizations of the Department of Corrections for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000. These financial schedules are the responsibility of the Department's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial schedules based on our audit. The financial transactions of the Department are accounted for principally in the General Fund of the State of Michigan.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial schedules are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial schedules. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial schedule presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1b, the accompanying financial schedules include only the revenue and transfers and the sources and disposition of authorizations for the Department of Correction's General Fund accounts, presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Accordingly, these financial schedules are not intended to constitute a complete financial presentation of either the Department or the State's General Fund in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial schedules referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the revenue and transfers and the sources and disposition of authorizations of the Department of Corrections for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000, on the basis of accounting described in Note 1b.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated February 22, 2002 on our tests of the Department's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants and on our consideration of its internal control over financial reporting. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards, required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and other supplemental financial schedules, consisting of the schedules of sources and disposition of General Fund authorizations by appropriation unit, are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the Department's financial schedules referred to in the first paragraph. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial schedules and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial schedules taken as a whole.

AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Schedule of General Fund Revenue and Transfers Fiscal Years Ended September 30

REVENUE	2001	2000
Federal agencies	\$ 21,031,280	\$ 18,699,860
Local agencies	407,189	160,038
Services:		
Resident stores	3,171,500	2,869,300
Public Works Program reimbursements	564,700	561,861
Other	246,960	153,430
Miscellaneous:		
Telephone fees and commissions	13,158,715	12,511,200
Oversight fees	7,350,918	7,530,170
Tether Program contributions	4,396,649	4,425,088
Community Residential Program fees	1,919,760	2,403,854
Other	1,598,403	1,729,407
Total Revenue	\$ 53,846,074	\$ 51,044,209
TRANSFERS		
From Correctional Industries Revolving Fund	_\$	\$ 89,600
Total Transfers	\$ 0	\$ 89,600
Total Revenue and Transfers	\$ 53,846,074	\$ 51,133,809

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial schedules.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations <u>Fiscal Years Fnded September 30</u>

SOURCES OF AUTHORIZATIONS (Note 2) General purpose appropriations Balances carried forward Restricted financing sources Less: Intrafund expenditure reimbursements	2001 \$ 1,619,600,500 49,692,303 57,146,749 (4,656,327)	2000 \$ 1,482,956,300 58,361,678 54,781,189 (4,783,994)
Total	\$ 1,721,783,225	\$ 1,591,315,173
DISPOSITION OF AUTHORIZATIONS (Note 2)		
Gross expenditures and transfers	\$ 1,662,984,472	\$ 1,544,128,384
Less: Intrafund expenditure reimbursements	(4,656,327)	(4,783,994)
Net expenditures and transfers	\$ 1,658,328,145	\$ 1,539,344,390
Balances carried forward:		
Multi-year projects	\$ 23,542,597	\$ 20,586,749
Encumbrances	29,229,774	26,118,322
Restricted revenue - not authorized	3,085,134	2,987,232
Total balances carried forward	<u>\$ 55,857,505</u>	\$ 49,692,303
Balances lapsed	\$ 7,597,575	\$ 2,278,480
Total	\$ 1,721,783,225	\$ 1,591,315,173

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial schedules.

Notes to the Financial Schedules

Note 1 Significant Accounting Policies

a. Reporting Entity

The accompanying financial schedules report the results of the financial transactions of the Department of Corrections (DOC) for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000. The financial transactions of DOC are accounted for principally in the State's General Fund and are reported on in the *State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report* (SOMCAFR). The financial schedules do not include the financial activities of the Correctional Industries Revolving Fund and DOC's Miscellaneous Trust Accounts Fund, which are audited separately and do not receive any federal assistance.

The notes accompanying these financial schedules relate directly to DOC. The *SOMCAFR* provides more extensive general disclosures regarding the State's Summary of Significant Accounting Policies; Budgeting, Budgetary Control, and Legal Compliance; Pension Benefits and Other Postemployment Benefits; Compensated Absences; Leases; and Interfund Receivables and Payables.

b. Basis of Accounting and Presentation

The financial schedules contained in this report are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting, as provided by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for governmental funds. The modified accrual basis of accounting, which emphasizes the measurement of current financial resource flows, is explained in more detail in the *SOMCAFR*.

The accompanying financial schedules include only the revenue and transfers and the sources and disposition of authorizations for DOC's General Fund accounts. Accordingly, these financial schedules are not intended to constitute a complete financial presentation of either DOC or the State's General Fund in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Note 2 <u>Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations</u> The various elements of the schedule of sources and disposition of General Fund authorizations are defined as follows:

- a. General purpose appropriations: Original appropriations and any supplemental appropriations that are financed by General Fund/general purpose revenue.
- b. Budgetary transfers in (out): Legislatively approved transfers of spending authorization between accounts within a department or between departments. These also include administrative transfers, such as entries to complete the financial closing of the State's fiscal year, that are approved by the Office of Financial Management, Department of Management and Budget.
- c. Balances carried forward: Authorizations for multi-year projects, encumbrances, restricted revenue authorized, and restricted revenue not authorized that were not spent as of the end of the prior fiscal year. These authorizations are available for expenditure in the current fiscal year for the purpose of the carry-forward without additional legislative authorization, except for the restricted revenue not authorized.
- d. Restricted financing sources: Collections of restricted revenue, restricted transfers, and restricted intrafund expenditure reimbursements to finance programs as detailed in the appropriations act. These financing sources are authorized for expenditure up to the amount appropriated. Depending upon program statute, any amounts received in excess of the appropriation are, at year-end, either converted to general purpose financing sources and made available for general appropriation in the next fiscal year or carried forward to the next fiscal year as either restricted revenue authorized or restricted revenue not authorized.
- e. Intrafund expenditure reimbursements: Funding from other General Fund departments to finance a program or a portion of a program that is the responsibility of the receiving department. DOC collected \$2.3 million and \$2.0 million to finance its food service operations in fiscal years 2000-01 and 1999-2000, respectively. Also, DOC is a subrecipient of certain

federal programs from the Department of Community Health. In accordance with State accounting policy, the Department of Community Health records the federal revenue and expenditures for these programs. DOC is reimbursed for its costs through an expenditure credit. The expenditure credits recorded for fiscal years 2000-01 and 1999-2000 were \$2,383,972 and \$1,792,855, respectively.

- f. Multi-year projects: Unexpended authorizations for work projects and capital outlay projects that are carried forward to subsequent fiscal years for the completion of the projects. Significant carry-forwards of this type were \$8.7 million and \$6.4 million for leased beds and alternatives to leased beds in fiscal years 2000-01 and 1999-2000, respectively. The work projects provided authorization for the custody, treatment, clinical, transportation, and administrative costs associated with the housing of prisoners during periods of facility overcrowding. Other significant carryforwards included \$2.5 million and \$3.5 million for local facility expansions for fiscal years 2000-01 and 1999-2000, respectively; \$3.1 million for new employee training for the new facility for fiscal year 2000-01; \$3.9 million for substance abuse pilot programs for fiscal year 1999-2000; \$3.3 million for redesign of DOC's computer system for fiscal year 1999-2000; and \$8.9 million for the opening of a new correctional facility (delayed until fiscal year 2001-02) for fiscal year 2000-01.
- g. Encumbrances: Authorizations carried forward to finance payments for goods and services ordered in the old fiscal year but not received by fiscal year-end. These authorizations are generally limited to obligations funded by general purpose appropriations.
- h. Restricted revenue not authorized: Revenue that, by statute, is restricted for use to a particular program or activity. However, DOC had not received legislative authorization to expend the revenue. Section 791.225a of the *Michigan Compiled Laws* restricts 20% of parolee and probationary supervision fees for administrative and enhanced services costs. Enhanced services include, but are not limited to, the purchase of services for parolees, such as counseling, employment training, employment placement, or education; public transportation expenses related to training, counseling, or employment; enhancement of staff

performance through specialized training and equipment purchase; and purchase of items for parolee employment. The restricted revenue - not authorized represents the unexpended balance of this money.

i. Balances lapsed: Authorizations that were unexpended and unobligated at the end of the fiscal year. These amounts are available for legislative appropriation in the subsequent fiscal year.

Note 3 Contingencies

DOC accrues liabilities related to significant legal proceedings if a loss is probable and reasonably estimable. The ultimate dispositions and consequences of the proceedings are not presently determinable, but such ultimate dispositions or consequences should not, in the opinion of DOC, have a material adverse effect on DOC's financial position.

Case Name: Maxim Graham, et al v Larry Ford and Michigan Department of

Corrections

Case Number: Ionia CC 96-S-17488-NO Judge: The Honorable David A. Hoort

Four employees alleged Elliot-Larsen Civil Rights Act workplace discrimination in the form of hostile work environment based on race and racial association. Plaintiffs' respective spouses sought loss of consortium damages. On May 18, 2001, a jury awarded separate verdicts in favor of the four employees and their spouses, totaling \$3,121,830. DOC immediately requested that the Department of Attorney General vigorously seek an appeal of the verdict as well as seek remittitur of the amount of damages awarded. DOC established a payable for \$2,000,000 for fiscal year 2001-02 toward payment of the verdict pending DOC's appeal. Depending upon the outcome of the appeal and the timeliness of the Court's decision, full payment could be from \$4 to \$6 million taking into account interest from the date of filing the complaint (June 7, 1996), costs, and mediation sanctions.

SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations by Appropriation Unit Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2001

				Sour	ces of Authoriza	itions			
		General		udgetary	Balances	Restricte	ed		
		Purpose		ransfers	Carried	Financir			
Appropriation Unit	A	ppropriations		n (Out)	Forward	Source	es		Total
Central Administration									
Executive	\$	9,203,600	\$	122,000	\$ 1,454	\$ 16,935,	603	\$	26,262,656
Administration and Programs	Ψ	40,815,700		5,902,000	1,443,551	1,583,		Ψ	49,744,345
Central Support Accounts		16,060,400		4.140.000	1,031,443	1,000,	000		21,231,843
Training, Community Support, and Substance Abuse Programs		26,732,800		1,700,000	10,373,904	2,305,	467		41,112,171
Field Operations		99,318,000		3,875,000	3,406,722	7,350,			113,950,640
Community Placement		31,437,400		2,455,000	1,463,399	6,769,	918		42,125,717
Special Alternative Incarceration Program		10,014,300			608,468	78,	342		10,701,110
Office of Community Corrections		34,253,900	(1,585,000)	4,138,395	13,158,	715		49,966,010
Consent Decrees		108,593,900	(4	1,714,900)	1,571,203				68,450,203
Office of Health Care		48,218,000		565,000	817,030	78,	506		49,678,536
Clinical Operations		91,784,600		(565,000)	608,861				91,828,461
Correctional Facilities - Administration	_	39,584,300		1,465,000)	10,063,707	818,			49,001,749
Subtotal for Central Administration	_\$	556,016,900	\$ (2	6,570,900)	\$ 35,528,137	\$ 49,079.	305	\$	614,053,442
Facility Operations									
Alger Maximum Security Correctional Facility - Munising	\$	27,587,400	\$		\$ 20.472	\$ 48.	771	\$	27,656,642
Baraga Maximum Correctional Facility - Baraga	Ψ	31,134,800	Ψ	100,000	111,798	T,	535	Ψ	31,446,132
Bellamy Creek Correctional Facility - Ionia		8,987,700		,	,				8,987,700
E.C. Brooks Correctional Facility - Muskegon		38,914,400		(100,000)	97,050	150,	812		39,062,263
Carson City Correctional Facility - Carson City		40,745,800		(300,000)	629,160	143,			41,218,596
Chippewa Correctional Facility - Kincheloe		42,018,500		135,000	1,258,300	209,			43,621,053
Cooper Street Correctional Facility - Jackson		21,456,000		(650,000)	333,364	143,	549		21,282,913
G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility - Jackson		34,320,200	(1,025,000)	471,939	277,	235		34,044,374
Florence Crane Correctional Facility - Coldwater		29,619,700	(1,765,000)	386,109	142,	895		28,383,704
Charles E. Egeler Correctional Facility - Jackson		20,319,100	13	3,764,400	31,574	80,	444		34,195,517
Richard A. Handlon Michigan Training Unit - Ionia		20,956,800		410,000	269,826		081		21,714,707
Gus Harrison Correctional Facility - Adrian		39,507,400		(168,000)	67,307	278,			39,685,483
Huron Valley Men's Facility - Ypsilanti		21,269,400		4,065,000	523,136		769		25,887,305
Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility - Ionia		26,503,800	(1,218,000)	231,214		757		25,536,772
Ionia Temporary Correctional Facility - Ionia		16,324,600		(530,000)	125,979		130		16,019,709
Jackson Maximum Correctional Facility - Jackson		41,778,400	()	2,300,000)	506,126	1,924,			41,908,914
Kinross Correctional Facility - Kincheloe Lakeland Correctional Facility - Coldwater		44,209,000		330,000	162,877	227,			44,929,289
Macomb Correctional Facility - Coldwater Macomb Correctional Facility - New Haven		21,053,200 24,579,200		695,000	290,602 55,149	102, 119,			22,141,014 24,754,058
Marquette Branch Prison - Marquette		36,538,700		932,000	804.408	117,			38,392,350
Michigan Reformatory - Ionia		32,271,800		3,064,000	1,683,918		852		37,104,569
Mound Correctional Facility - Detroit		24,346,400		980,000	14,739		545		25,425,684
Muskegon Correctional Facility - Muskegon		24,380,300		(650,000)	201,765	77,			24,009,606
Newberry Correctional Facility - Newberry		25.433.100		(,)	347,711	106.			25.887.793
Oaks Correctional Facility - Eastlake		30,722,900		(930,000)	411,049	53,	681		30,257,630
Ojibway Correctional Facility - Marenisco		15,948,300		, , ,		32,	150		15,980,450
Parnall Correctional Facility - Jackson		22,249,100	(1,395,000)	246,588	118,	046		21,218,734
Pine River Correctional Facility - St. Louis		16,196,500		(600,000)	45,699		688		15,715,887
Pugsley Correctional Facility - Kingsley		14,590,200		1,700,000)			781		12,930,981
Riverside Correctional Facility - Ionia		26,456,900		9,916,600	1,787,062		336		38,221,898
Ryan Correctional Facility - Detroit		25,063,700		800,000	19,443		837		25,972,980
Saginaw Correctional Facility - Freeland		25,360,900		(544,000)	294,926		350		25,209,175
Scott Correctional Facility - Plymouth		24,166,300		(10,000)	35,131		025		24,268,456
Southern Michigan Correctional Facility - Jackson		30,485,700		(950,000)	212,152		603		29,816,455
St. Louis Correctional Facility - St. Louis		43,372,400		(335,000)	177,542	155,			43,370,330
Standish Maximum Correctional Facility - Standish State Prison of Southern Michigan - Jackson		29,125,200		230,000	244.075	59,	352		29,414,552 4.084.275
Thumb Correctional Facility - Lapeer		25,172,300		3,842,300 320,000	241,975 325,273	143,	380		4,084,275 25,960,954
Western Wayne Correctional Facility - Plymouth		26,100,900		(187,000)	83,226		360 950		26,075,076
Jackson Area Support and Services		14,316,600		2,343,600	604,023	2,272,			19,536,576
Correction Camps		17,510,000		<u> </u>	1,055,557	۷,۷۱۷,			1,055,557
Subtotal for Facility Operations	\$ 1	.063.583.600	\$ 2	6,570,900	\$ 14,164,166	\$ 8,067,	444	\$1	,112,386,110
Intrafund Expenditure Reimbursements	\$		\$		\$	\$ (4,656,		\$	(4,656,327)
Total	\$1	,619,600,500	\$	0	\$ 49,692,303	\$ 52,490,			,721,783,225
	_								

					Disposition of A	Autho	rizations				
Е	xpenditures					Restricted					
	and					I	Revenue -		Balances		
	Transfers		Projects	<u>En</u>	cumbrances	No	t Authorized		Lapsed		Total
\$	26,211,606	\$		\$	41,163	\$		\$	9,888	\$	26,262,656
	46,257,773		2,460,245		948,975				77,351		49,744,345
	20,805,920				386,428				39,495		21,231,843
	33,224,638		3,103,451		997,643				3,786,440		41,112,171
	110,172,019				622,219		3,085,134		71,268		113,950,640
	40,497,316				1,604,119				24,282		42,125,717
	9,556,944				1,143,997				170		10,701,110
	46,349,952		2,500,000		225,365				890,693		49,966,010
	65,493,370				2,931,764				25,069		68,450,203
	48,564,374				1,103,074				11,089		49,678,536
	89,323,264		0.000.440		2,425,386				79,812		91,828,461
•	39,263,345	Φ.	6,388,412	Φ.	1,751,300	•	2.005.424	\$	1,598,692	Φ.	49,001,749
_\$	575,720,519	\$	14,452,109	\$	14,181,432	\$	3,085,134	7	6,614,248	\$	614,053,442
\$	27,514,003	\$		\$	134,728	\$		\$	7,912	\$	27,656,642
Ψ	31,358,760	Ψ		Ψ	78,690	Ψ		Ψ	8,683	Ψ	31,446,132
	71,722		8,915,978		70,000				0,000		8,987,700
	38,606,947		0,010,010		443,815				11,501		39,062,263
	40,521,342				692,369				4,885		41,218,596
	42,205,197				1,407,685				8,171		43,621,053
	20,715,464				523,366				44,084		21,282,913
	33,583,575				429,622				31,177		34,044,374
	27,932,486				447,615				3,603		28,383,704
	33,906,241				247,812				41,464		34,195,517
	21,490,207		28,940		183,429				12,132		21,714,707
	39,456,325				207,500				21,657		39,685,483
	25,144,818				695,704				46,784		25,887,305
	24,889,662		575		612,771				33,764		25,536,772
	15,678,373		31,113		300,799				9,424		16,019,709
	41,393,410				476,511				38,993		41,908,914
	44,908,219				17,476				3,594		44,929,289
	21,974,835				130,834				35,345		22,141,014
	24,422,873				325,167				6,018		24,754,058
	37,792,633				577,280				22,436		38,392,350
	35,376,006		19,852		1,701,370				7,341		37,104,569
	25,377,650				6,897				41,136		25,425,684
	23,733,454				262,706				13,445		24,009,606
	25,649,568				227,735				10,490		25,887,793
	30,005,672				201,590				50,368		30,257,630
	15,907,334				72,926				190		15,980,450
	21,139,350				43,245				36,139		21,218,734
	15,475,692 12,929,341				227,471				12,723 1,640		15,715,887 12,930,981
	36,011,104		94,031		2,101,755				15,009		38,221,898
	25,954,169		J -1 ,031		17,862				948		25,972,980
	25,954,109				126,774				18,837		25,209,175
	24,157,583				89,975				20,898		24,268,456
	29,390,814				389,628				36,013		29,816,455
	43,347,451				18,799				4,080		43,370,330
	29,295,823				118,712				16		29,414,552
	3,841,373				81,275				161,627		4,084,275
	25,840,077				110,730				10,147		25,960,954
	25,979,067				52,217				43,791		26,075,076
	18,597,706				862,100				76,771		19,536,576
	624,061				401,404				30,092		1,055,557
\$1	,087,263,954	\$	9,090,488	\$	15,048,342	\$	0	\$	983,326	\$ 1	,112,386,110
\$	(4,656,327)	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	(4,656,327)
\$1	,658,328,145	\$	23,542,597	\$	29,229,774	\$	3,085,134	\$	7,597,575	\$ 1	,721,783,225

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS Schedule of Sources and Disposition of General Fund Authorizations by Appropriation Unit Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000

	Sources of Authorizations										
	General	Budgetary	Balances	Restricted							
	Purpose	Transfers	Carried	Financing							
Appropriation Unit	Appropriations	In (Out)	Forward	Sources	Total						
Central Administration											
Executive	\$ 8,409,000	\$ (94,300)	\$ 17,063	\$	\$ 8,331,763						
Administration and Programs	22,869,300	6,067,200	968,069	1,714,916	31,619,485						
Central Support Accounts	18,389,400	2,117,100	887,146	1,7 14,010	21,393,646						
Training, Community Support, and Substance Abuse Programs	37.501.100	2.928.900	9.597,292	2.442.381	52,469,673						
Field Operations	95,459,500	3,290,000	2,823,314	7,530,170	109,102,984						
Community Placement	29,696,500	2,614,100	623,631	7.293.946	40,228,177						
Special Alternative Incarceration Program	9,278,500	(575,000)	341,743	63,611	9,108,854						
Office of Community Corrections	31,212,300	2,923,600	6,810,580	12,511,200	53,457,680						
Consent Decrees	104,502,300	(39,895,200)	617,895		65,224,995						
Office of Health Care	43,320,900	775,000	705,189	77,948	44,879,037						
Clinical Operations	76,750,200	1,585,000	647,333		78,982,533						
Correctional Facilities - Administration	16,844,100	3,332,400	25,306,513	3,054,968	48,537,981						
Subtotal for Central Administration	\$ 494,233,100	\$(14,931,200)	\$ 49,345,768	\$ 34,689,140	\$ 563,336,808						
- W. O											
Facility Operations Alger Maximum Security Correctional Facility - Munising	\$ 22.216.900	\$ (625.000)	\$ 8.188	\$ 15.131	\$ 21.615.219						
Baraga Maximum Correctional Facility - Munising	24,645,600	95,000	96,167	20,075	24,856,842						
E.C. Brooks Correctional Facility - Muskegon	39,186,900	(1,120,000)	80.397	176.272	38,323,569						
Carson City Correctional Facility - Carson City	39,926,200	(555,000)	569,225	109,609	40,050,034						
Chippewa Correctional Facility - Kincheloe	37,337,500	2,424,600	301,025	180,403	40,243,528						
Cooper Street Correctional Facility - Jackson	18,617,700	(160,000)	226,178	104,045	18,787,923						
G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility - Jackson	30,736,300	(740,000)	391,072	130,754	30,518,126						
Florence Crane Correctional Facility - Coldwater	18,295,900	(1,116,500)	127,366	63,415	17,370,181						
Charles E. Egeler Correctional Facility - Jackson	19,474,800	13,165,500	33,910	329,071	33,003,281						
Richard A. Handlon Michigan Training Unit - Ionia	21,083,400	1,026,500	204,888	87,337	22,402,125						
Gus Harrison Correctional Facility - Adrian	38,874,000	(746,300)	20,769	209,882	38,358,351						
Huron Valley Men's Facility - Ypsilanti	20,525,600	3,540,500	779,375	50,555	24,896,030						
Ionia Maximum Correctional Facility - Ionia	23,883,000	(110,000)	21,062	14,935	23,808,997						
Ionia Temporary Correctional Facility - Ionia	16,740,100	(688,000)	181,386	448,078	16,681,564						
Jackson Maximum Correctional Facility - Jackson	37,821,900	(7,760,000)	139,493	2,995,639	33,197,032						
Kinross Correctional Facility - Kincheloe	40,083,300	656,700	333,458	164,748	41,238,206						
Lakeland Correctional Facility - Coldwater	20,783,300	155,700	212,099	61,150	21,212,249						
Macomb Correctional Facility - New Haven	23,775,900	(50,000)	1,596	119,152	23,846,648						
Marquette Branch Prison - Marquette	32,097,900	920,200	918,463	158,995	34,095,558						
Michigan Reformatory - Ionia Michigan Youth Correctional Facility - Baldwin	31,856,700	2,947,000	858,459	160,394 12,909,978	35,822,553						
Mid-Michigan Correctional Facility - St. Louis	761,900 16,844,200	(025,000)	13 188,761	72,007	13,671,891						
Mound Correctional Facility - Detroit	22,547,400	(835,000) 1,450,000	110,395	104,378	16,269,968 24,212,173						
Muskegon Correctional Facility - Muskegon	24,395,000	(111,200)	187,673	81,899	24,553,372						
Newberry Correctional Facility - Newberry	21,155,700	120,000	376,701	58,939	21,711,340						
Oaks Correctional Facility - Eastlake	26,186,600	(420,000)	413,050	15,602	26,195,252						
Parnall Correctional Facility - Jackson	21,983,800	(130,000)	68,654	120.019	22,042,473						
Pine River Correctional Facility - St. Louis	13,013,400	(205,000)	,	5,871	12,814,271						
Riverside Correctional Facility - Ionia	25,461,000	9,934,200	990,779	54,523	36,440,502						
Ryan Correctional Facility - Detroit	24,022,300	450,000	19,651	104,712	24,596,663						
Saginaw Correctional Facility - Freeland	26,340,900	(1,699,000)		102,205	24,744,105						
Scott Correctional Facility - Plymouth	24,120,900	(178,000)	232,758	104,814	24,280,472						
Southern Michigan Correctional Facility - Jackson	27,852,700	(3,235,000)	17,666	77,195	24,712,561						
St. Louis Correctional Facility - St. Louis	20,459,000	(1,127,100)		1,942	19,333,842						
Standish Maximum Correctional Facility - Standish	21,362,900	811,000		17,325	22,191,225						
State Prison of Southern Michigan - Jackson	00 170 7	3,155,000	140,669		3,295,669						
Thumb Correctional Facility - Lapeer	22,172,700	(1,436,200)	215,162	59,145	21,010,807						
Western Wayne Correctional Facility - Plymouth	21,409,600	625,900	39,809	106,564	22,181,873						
Correction Camps	62,123,100	5,047,900	509,593	495,291	68,175,884						
Inmate Housing Fund Subtotal for Facility Operations	\$,547,200 \$ 988,723,200	(8,547,200) \$ 14,931,200	\$ 9,015,910	\$ 20,092,049	\$1,032,762,359						
Subtotal for Facility Operations Intrafund Expenditure Reimbursements	\$ 988,723,200 \$	\$ 14,931,200 \$	\$ 9,015,910	\$ 20,092,049	\$ 1,032,762,359 \$ (4,783,994)						
Total	\$1,482,956,300	\$ 0	\$ 58,361,678	\$ 49,997,195	\$1,591,315,173						
	, ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .,		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, .,,						

				Di	sposition of A	Autho	orizations				
Е	xpenditures						Restricted				
	and		Multi-Year			F	Revenue -		Balances		
	Transfers		Projects	End	umbrances	No	t Authorized		Lapsed		Total
_		_		_		_					
\$	8,320,541	\$		\$	1,454	\$		\$	9,768	\$	8,331,763
	30,098,680		893,336		550,215				77,253		31,619,485
	20,361,795				1,031,443				408		21,393,646
	41,838,985		7,406,925		2,966,979				256,783		52,469,673
	105,597,286				419,490		2,987,232		98,976		109,102,984
	38,679,993				1,463,399				84,786		40,228,177
	8,496,746				608,468				3,641		9,108,855
	48,948,897		3,485,691		652,704				370,388		53,457,680
	63,613,026				1,571,203				40,766		65,224,995
	44,024,582				817,030				37,425		44,879,037
	78,290,498				608,861				83,174		78,982,533
	37,768,145		8,684,674		1,983,056				102,107		48,537,981
\$	526,039,175	\$	20,470,626	\$	12,674,301	\$	2,987,232	\$	1,165,474	\$	563,336,809
•	24 500 004	Φ.		e	00.470	Φ.		e	4 740	Φ.	24 645 242
\$	21,590,004	\$		\$	20,472	\$		\$	4,743	\$	21,615,218
	24,738,624				111,798				6,420		24,856,842
	38,226,074				97,050				445		38,323,569
	39,398,592				629,160				22,283		40,050,034
	38,969,730				1,258,300				15,499		40,243,529
	18,425,608				333,364				28,951		18,787,923
	30,018,317				471,939				27,869		30,518,126
	16,971,522				386,109				12,550		17,370,181
	32,957,460				31,574				14,247		33,003,280
	22,122,905		14		269,812				9,394		22,402,125
	38,290,122				67,307				922		38,358,351
	24,247,297				523,136				125,597		24,896,030
	23,563,879		32,145		199,069				13,904		23,808,997
	16,531,438		13,394		112,585				24,148		16,681,565
	32,680,052				506,126				10,854		33,197,032
	41,052,650				162,877				22,679		41,238,206
	20,905,634				290,602				16,013		21,212,249
	23,751,440				55,149				40,059		23,846,648
	33,278,027				804,408				13,124		34,095,558
	34,124,822		22,353		1,661,565				13,814		35,822,553
	13,671,890		,		, ,				-,-		13,671,890
	16,155,978				55,326				58,664		16,269,969
	24,080,448				14,739				116,986		24,212,172
	24,348,883				201,765				2,723		24,553,372
	21,343,762				347,711				19,867		21,711,340
	25,778,034				411,049				6,169		26,195,252
	21,763,477				246,588				32,408		22,042,472
	12,760,078				45,699				8,494		12,814,271
	34,601,581		48,217		1,738,845				51,860		36,440,503
	24,566,370		,		19,443				10,851		24,596,663
	24,306,401				294,926				142,778		24,744,105
	24,187,387				35,131				57,954		24,280,471
	24,476,960				212,152				23,449		24,712,561
	19,204,537				122,215				7,090		19,333,842
	22,133,020				122,213				58,205		22,191,225
	3,053,694				241,975				30,203		3,295,669
					325,273				12 220		
	20,673,304				,				12,229		21,010,806
	22,042,811				83,226				55,837		22,181,874
	67,096,400				1,055,557				23,926		68,175,883
\$	1,018,089,209	\$	116,123	\$	13,444,020	\$	0	\$	1,113,006	\$	1,032,762,358
\$	(4,783,994)	\$	110,120	\$		\$		\$., , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$	(4,783,994)
	1,539,344,390	\$	20,586,749		26,118,322	\$	2,987,232	\$	2,278,480		1,591,315,173
	,,,		,,	<u> </u>	.,,	<u> </u>	, ,		, 5, .00	Ť	, , ,

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (1)
For the Period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001

		Fis	scal Year Ended	l Year Ended September 30, 2000								
Federal Agency/Program	CFDA(2) Program Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Expended	Distributed to Subrecipients	Total Expended and Distributed							
U.S. Department of Agriculture Pass-Through Programs: Michigan Department of Education Child Nutrition Cluster: School Breakfast Program	10.553	330008008	\$ 150,485	\$	\$ 150,485							
National School Lunch Program Total Child Nutrition Cluster	10.555	330008008	239,966 \$ 390,451	\$ 0	239,966 \$ 390,451							
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			\$ 390,451	\$ 0	\$ 390,451							
U.S. Department of Justice Direct Programs: Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing Incentive Grants State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Total Direct Programs	16.586 16.606	(3)	\$ 12,909,978 2,251,070 \$ 15,161,048	\$14,109,785 \$14,109,785	\$ 27,019,763 2,251,070 \$ 29,270,833							
Pass-Through Programs: Michigan Department of Community Health Byrne Formula Grant Program Byrne Formula Grant Program Total Bryne Formula Grant Program	16.579 16.579	70672-4k98 70672-4k99 (1)	\$ 1,598 290,669 \$ 292,267	\$ 0	\$ 1,598 290,669 \$ 292,267							
Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners Total Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners Total Pass-Through Programs	16.593 16.593	90001-2S97/98 90001-4S99 (1)	\$ 463,544 959,096 \$ 1,422,640 \$ 1,714,907	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 463,544 959,096 \$ 1,422,640 \$ 1,714,907							
Total U.S. Department of Justice			\$ 16,875,955	\$14,109,785	\$ 30,985,740							
Institute of Museum and Library Services Pass-Through Program: Library of Michigan												
State Library Program State Library Program	45.310 45.310	2k-402 2k-405	\$ 22,675 18,021	\$	\$ 22,675 18,021							
Total Institute of Museum and Library Services			\$ 40,696	\$ 0	\$ 40,696							
U.S. Department of Education Pass-Through Programs: Michigan Department of Career Development Adult Education - State Grant Program Adult Education - State Grant Program	84.002 84.002	1190/20079	\$ 539,483	\$	\$ 539,483							
Total Adult Education - State Grant Program	04.002		\$ 539,483	\$ 0	\$ 539,483							
Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States	84.048 84.048	3320/2281 4271	\$ 171,478 38.126	\$	\$ 171,478 38,126							
Total Vocational Education - Basic Grants to States Total Pass-Through Programs - Michigan Department of Career Development			\$ 209,604 \$ 749,087	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 209,604 \$ 749,087							
Michigan Department of Education Title I Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children Title I Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children	84.013 84.013	1660/9900 1669/9900	\$ 219,878 74,816	\$	\$ 219,878 74,816							
Total Title I Program for Neglected and Delinquent Children			\$ 294,694	\$ 0	\$ 294,694							
Special Education - Grants to States Special Education - Grants to States Special Education - Grants to States	84.027 84.027 84.027	0490/61CB 0440/61	\$ 5,000 59,282	\$	\$ 5,000 59,282							

This schedule continued on next page.

Fi	scal	Year Ended S	eptei	mber 30, 20	001		.	oleb.l				
Pass-Through	•											
Identification		Directly	Dist	ributed to	То	tal Expended	an					
Number		Expended	Sub	recipients		d Distributed	Two	o-Year Period				
330008008 330008008	\$	172,183 299,975	\$		\$	172,183 299,975	\$	322,668 539,941				
	\$	472,158	\$	0	\$	472,158	\$	862,609				
	\$	472,158	\$	0	\$	472,158	\$	862,609				
(3)	\$	16,935,603	\$ 1	,800,937	\$	18,736,540	\$	45,756,303				
		1,878,849				1,878,849		4,129,919				
	\$	18,814,452	\$ 1	,800,937	\$	20,615,389	\$	49,886,222				
70846-2K00	\$	218,008	\$		\$	218,008	\$	219,606				
70846-1K99		161,669				161,669	*	452,338				
(1)	\$	379,677	\$	0	\$	379,677	\$	671,944				
90001-5500	\$	1,362,789	\$		\$	1,362,789	\$	1,826,333 959,096				
(1)	\$	1,362,789	\$	0	\$	1,362,789	\$	2,785,429				
. ,	\$	1,742,466	\$	0	\$	1,742,466	\$	3,457,373				
					_							
	\$	20,556,918	\$ 1	,800,937		22,357,855	\$	53,343,595				
304-01	\$	20,556,918 12,535	\$ 1	,800,937			\$					
304-01	\$	12,535	\$		\$	22,357,855 12,535	\$	35,210 18,021				
	\$	12,535 12,535	\$	0	\$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535	\$	35,210 18,021 53,231				
304-01 011190/0020 011190/1011	\$	12,535 12,535 269,800	\$		\$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800	\$	35,210 18,021 53,231				
011190/0020	\$	12,535 12,535	\$		\$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535	\$	35,210 18,021 53,231				
011190/0020	\$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800	\$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800	\$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801				
011190/0020 011190/1011	\$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323	\$ \$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323	\$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126				
011190/0020 011190/1011	\$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600	\$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600	\$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801				
011190/0020 011190/1011	\$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323	\$ \$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323	\$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126				
011190/0020 011190/1011 0133020/6702 011660/0001	\$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695	\$ \$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 162,323 701,923	\$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126 371,927 1,451,010				
011190/0020 011190/1011 0133020/6702	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 162,323 701,923	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126 371,927 1,451,010				
011190/0020 011190/1011 0133020/6702 011660/0001 001660/0001	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966 137,661	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966 137,661	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126 371,927 1,451,010 328,573 103,782 432,355				
011190/0020 011190/1011 0133020/6702 011660/0001 001660/0001	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966 137,661 5,000	\$ \$ \$	0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966 137,661 5,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126 371,927 1,451,010 328,573 103,782 432,355				
011190/0020 011190/1011 0133020/6702 011660/0001 001660/0001	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966 137,661	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0 0 0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	22,357,855 12,535 12,535 269,800 269,800 539,600 162,323 701,923 108,695 28,966 137,661	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	35,210 18,021 53,231 53,231 809,283 269,800 1,079,083 333,801 38,126 371,927 1,451,010 328,573 103,782 432,355				

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (1)
For the Period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2001
Continued

		Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2000						
Federal Agency/Program	CFDA(2) Program Number	Pass-Through Identification Number	. <u> </u>	Directly Expended		ibuted to		tal Expended d Distributed
Special Education - Grants to States	84.027							
Special Education - Grants to States	84.027		_					
Total Special Education - Grants to States			\$	64,282	\$	0	\$	64,282
Grants to States for Incarcerated Youth Offenders	84.331	V331A990043	\$	360,061	\$		\$	360,061
Grants to States for Incarcerated Youth Offenders	84.331	V331A000043	_	,				,
Total Grants to States for Incarcerated Youth Offenders			\$	360,061	\$	0	\$	360,061
Total Pass-Through Programs - Michigan Department of Education	n		\$	719,037	\$	0	\$	719,037
Total U.S. Department of Education			\$	1,468,124	\$	0	\$	1,468,124
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services								
Pass-Through Programs:								
Michigan Department of Community Health								
HIV Care Formula Grants	93.917	(1)	\$	77,948	\$		\$	77,948
Block Grants for the Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959			563,000				563,000
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			\$	640,948	\$	0	\$	640,948
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$	19,416,174	\$14	,109,785	\$	33,525,959

(1) Basis of Presentation: This schedule includes the federal grant activity of the Department of Corrections (DOC) and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial schedules.

DOC is a subrecipient of certain federal programs from the Department of Community Health. In accordance with State accounting policy, the Department of Community Health records the federal revenue and expenditures for these programs. DOC is reimbursed for its costs through an expenditure credit. The expenditure credits recorded for fiscal years 1999-2000 and 2000-01 were \$1,792,855 and \$2,383,972, respectively.

DOC distributes some federal grants to other State departments as a pass-through entity. In these cases, the transfer of the financing is recorded in the accounting records as a revenue debit.

As a result of these expenditure credits and revenue debits, the amounts reported as expended on this schedule do not agree with the amounts reported as federal revenue in the schedule of General Fund revenue and transfers.

- (2) CFDA is defined as Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance .
- (3) For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000, DOC passed through \$33,440,605 of Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing Incentive Grants to the Department of Management and Budget to construct a correctional facility. Subsequently, with U.S. Department of Justice approval, the Department of Management and Budget reclassified the federal revenue and expenditures in the amount of \$19,333,820 to State funding and returned the federal funds to DOC to be used for future federal expenditures.

Fis	scal	Year Ended S	eptemb	per 30, 20	01			
Pass-Through Identification Number	Directly Expended		Distributed to Subrecipients		Total Expended and Distributed		an	tal Expended d Distributed for the o-Year Period
010490/61 010490/61TS		3,887 19,772				3,887 19,772		3,887 19,772
	\$	92,374	_\$	0	3	92,374	\$	156,656
V331A990043	\$	195,725 138,657	\$		\$	195,725 138,657	\$	555,786 138,657
	\$	334,382	\$	0	\$	334,382		694,443
	\$	564,417	\$	0	\$	564,417	\$	1,283,454
	\$	1,266,340	\$	0	\$	1,266,340	\$	2,734,464
(1) (1)	\$	78,506 563,000	\$		\$	78,506 563,000	\$	156,454 1,126,000
	\$	641,506	\$	0	\$	641,506	\$	1,282,454
	\$	22,949,457	\$ 1,8	800.937	\$	24,750,394	\$	58,276,353

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

February 22, 2002

Mr. William S. Overton, Director Department of Corrections Grandview Plaza Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Overton:

We have audited the General Fund financial schedules of the Department of Corrections for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000 and have issued our report thereon dated February 22, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Department's financial schedules are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial schedule amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Department's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial schedules and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the Department's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions

of management in the financial schedules. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 1 and 2.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial schedules being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that neither of the reportable conditions identified in the previous paragraph is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the State's management, the Legislature, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

February 22, 2002

Mr. William S. Overton, Director Department of Corrections Grandview Plaza Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Overton:

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Department of Corrections with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each major federal program for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000. The Department's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each major federal program is the responsibility of the Department's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Department's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations.* Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to in the previous paragraph that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Department's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Department's compliance with those requirements.

As described in Findings 3 and 4 in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the Department did not comply with requirements regarding allowable costs/cost principles and activities allowed or unallowed that are applicable to its Adult Education - State Grant Program and Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the Department to comply with the requirements applicable to those programs.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the previous paragraph, the Department of Corrections complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to in the third previous paragraph that are applicable to each major federal program for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2001 and September 30, 2000.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Department is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Department's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted certain matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the Department's ability to administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as Findings 3 and 4.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the reportable conditions identified in the previous paragraph, we consider Findings 3 and 4 to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the State's management, the Legislature, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

AUDITOR GENERAL

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS*

Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Schedules

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unqualified*

Internal control* over financial reporting:

Material weaknesses* identified?

Reportable conditions* identified that are not considered to be

material weaknesses? Yes

Noncompliance material to the financial schedules?

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weaknesses identified?

Reportable conditions identified that are not considered to be

material weaknesses? None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unqualified for all major programs except for the Adult Education State Grant Program and Residential Substance Abuse Treatment
for State Prisoners, which are qualified*.

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Section 510(a)?

Yes

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program				
16.586	Violent Offender Incarceration and Sentencing Incentive Grants	l Truth in			
16.593	Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners				
16.606	State Criminal Alien Assistance Pr	ogram			
84.002	Adult Education - State Grant Prog	gram			
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$1,748,291					
Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee*	No				

Section II: Findings Related to the Financial Schedules

FINDING (470201)

1. Encumbrances

The Department of Corrections (DOC) did not establish encumbrances at fiscal year-end in accordance with the Department of Management and Budget (DMB) Administrative Guide.

DOC establishes encumbrances at fiscal year-end for commitments related to unperformed contracts for goods and services. In order for a contract to qualify as an encumbrance, DMB Administrative Guide procedure 1210.27 requires that all contracting parties sign and date the contract prior to September 16 and that the contract represent a legal obligation of the State to pay a specific sum. Also, DMB procedure allows contracts between State departments to qualify for encumbrance carry-forward if the contract meets the preceding criteria.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

Our review disclosed that DOC did not always have qualifying contracts to support encumbrances:

a. DOC established encumbrances totaling \$2,174,910 and \$2,246,555 in fiscal years 2000-01 and 1999-2000, respectively, without having signed contracts or a specific sum obligation of the State prior to September 16.

DOC established the encumbrances based on annual memorandums of understanding with the DMB Office of Design and Construction. The memorandums included estimates of funding needed to complete miscellaneous operating projects* at various correctional facilities. The Office was to contract with vendors to provide services for the projects, which would have created a legal specific sum obligation of the State and would have satisfied the DMB encumbrance criteria. However, the Office had not entered into vendor contracts prior to September 16 for these encumbrances.

The DMB Office of Financial Management informed DOC that the use and receipt (prior to September 16) of the memorandums fulfill the contract and date requirements to qualify as an encumbrance, regardless of whether DMB entered into contracts with vendors.

We noted the same condition during our prior audit and DOC responded that it was following DMB encumbrance criteria when it encumbered these projects.

b. DOC overstated a fiscal year 1998-99 encumbrance by \$53,000. The contract was for \$400,000 and the initial encumbrance established was \$453,000. The \$53,000 overstatement was carried forward to fiscal years 1999-2000 and 2000-01.

DOC's compliance with DMB encumbrance criteria would help ensure that only necessary spending authority carries forward and that financial reporting is accurate.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

RECOMMENDATION

WE AGAIN RECOMMEND THAT DOC ESTABLISH ENCUMBRANCES AT FISCAL YEAR-END IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DMB ADMINISTRATIVE GUIDE.

FINDING (470202)

2. Payroll Transactions

DOC did not consistently adhere to prescribed procedures for retaining transaction documentation.

Our review disclosed 3 (20%) of 15 gross pay adjustment (GPA) transactions in which adequate supporting and/or approval documentation could not be located. DOC's practice is to retain GPA transaction documentation in employee personnel files.

The DMB retention and disposal schedule specifies that personnel files for active employees are to be retained permanently at the agency.

Although this condition limited DOC's ability to verify the propriety of payroll transactions, nothing came to our attention that would indicate that the 3 transactions were not proper.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that DOC consistently adhere to prescribed procedures for retaining transaction documentation.

The status of the findings related to the financial schedules that were reported in prior Single Audits is disclosed in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

Section III: Findings and Questioned Costs Related to Federal Awards

FINDING (470203)

3. Equipment

U.S. Department of Education	CFDA: 84.002 Adult Education - State Grant Program		
Award Number:	Award Period:		
1190/20079	07/01/99 - 09/30/00		
Pass-Through From the Michigan	Questioned Costs: \$65,968		
Department of Career Development			

DOC did not obtain approval from the Michigan Department of Career Development (MDCD) prior to expending federal funds on the purchase of equipment totaling \$65,968 for the Adult Education - State Grant Program.

OMB Circular A-87 defines capital equipment as an item having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost equal to the lesser of the capitalization level established by the governmental unit for financial statement purposes or \$5,000. Also, OMB Circular A-87 requires capital expenditures for equipment to be approved by the awarding agency in order to be considered allowable as direct costs.

As a subrecipient*, DOC received federal funds from MDCD to administer the Adult Education - State Grant Program. Subsequent to our audit, DOC requested and obtained approval from MDCD for the equipment purchases totaling \$65,968.

Noncompliance with allowable cost principles and standards may result in federal grantor agencies disallowing program expenditures and rejecting subsequent requests for federal funds. We considered this internal control weakness and the resulting noncompliance with costs allowed or unallowed to be material to DOC's Adult Education - State Grant Program.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that DOC obtain approval from MDCD prior to expending federal funds on the purchase of equipment for the Adult Education - State Grant Program.

FINDING (470204)

4. Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners (RSAT) Case Files

U.S. Department of Justice	CFDA: 16.593 Residential Substance Abuse					
	Treatment for State Prisoners					
Award Number:	Award Period:					
90001-2S97/98	10/01/98 - 03/31/00					
90001-4S99	04/01/00 - 09/30/00					
90001-5S00	10/01/00 - 09/30/01					
Pass-Through From the Michigan	Questioned Costs: \$43,750					
Department of Community Health						

DOC did not consistently comply with RSAT program requirements and with established case file internal control procedures for documenting RSAT activities.

The Office of Justice Programs/Corrections Program Office program guide states that RSAT formula grant funds may be used to implement residential substance abuse programs that provide individual and group treatment activities for offenders in residential facilities operated by state and local correctional agencies. These programs must last between 6 and 12 months and each offender must participate in the program for not less than 6, nor more than 12, months, unless he or she drops out or is terminated.

The Department of Community Health passes federal awards through to DOC, based on the grant agreement. The agreement states that prisoners who have a history of substance abuse and are within 12 to 18 months of their earliest release date are to receive treatment in the RSAT program. DOC contracted with a third party to obtain substance abuse residential treatment services.

Our review of 20 randomly selected prisoner case files disclosed:

- a. DOC admitted 17 (89%) of the 19 documented RSAT prisoners (see item c.) into the program less than 12 months from their earliest release date. As a result, we questioned costs totaling \$41,839.
- b. DOC released 4 (21%) of the 19 documented prisoners from the RSAT program 13 to 20 days before the prisoners participated in the program for the minimum 6 months. As a result, we questioned costs totaling \$8,497.
- c. DOC's contractor did not maintain documentation to show when 1 prisoner was admitted to or released from the program. As a result, we questioned costs totaling \$1,912.

Noncompliance with the grant agreement and program guide may result in the federal grantor agencies disallowing program expenditures and rejecting subsequent requests for federal funds. We considered this internal control weakness and the resulting noncompliance with activities allowed or unallowed to be material to RSAT.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that DOC staff consistently comply with RSAT program requirements and with established case file internal control procedures for documenting RSAT activities.

The status of the findings related to federal awards that were reported in prior Single Audits is disclosed in the summary schedule of prior audit findings.

OTHER SCHEDULES

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings <u>As of September 30, 2001</u>

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

Audit Findings That Have Been Fully Corrected:

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470002

Finding Title: Expenditure Classification

Finding: See Finding 470003 with the findings related to federal awards.

Audit Findings Not Corrected or Partially Corrected:

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470001

Finding Title: Encumbrances

Finding: The Department of Corrections (DOC) did not establish

encumbrances at fiscal year-end in accordance with Department of

Management and Budget (DMB) criteria.

Comments: DOC disagreed with item a. of the finding. DOC is establishing

encumbrances according to DMB instructions/guidelines. DOC

agreed with item b. and has taken steps to comply.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS

<u>Audit Findings That Have Been Fully Corrected:</u>

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470003

Finding Title: Expenditure Classification

Finding: DOC did not properly classify all federal program expenditures as

required by the Common Rule when administering the Adult

Education - State Grant Program and the Title I Program for

Neglected and Delinquent Children.

Comments: All staff have been trained in the classification of object codes for

expenditure transactions and journal vouchers. Also, queries are now being run in the Michigan Administrative Information Network* (MAIN) Management Information Database (MIDB) to verify the

accuracy of coding.

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470004

Finding Title: Adult Education - State Grant Program Budget and Federal Awards

DOC did not expend Adult Education - State Grant Program federal

awards in accordance with the categorical budgets approved by the Michigan Department of Education. Also, DOC did not accurately

report its expenditures to the Department.

Comments: Revisions of categorical budgets formerly administered by the

Michigan Department of Education are now being sent to the

Michigan Department of Career Development for approval.

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470005

Finding Title: Federal Payroll Costs

Finding: DOC did not properly document payroll costs charged to four of its

federal programs.

Comments: Payroll cost documentation is now being sent to DOC's central

office and reviewed to ensure compliance.

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470006

Finding Title: Child Nutrition Cluster

Finding: DOC did not record federal awards expended for the School

Breakfast Program (SBP) and the National School Lunch Program

(NSLP) separately in MAIN.

Comments: A new program cost account was established to track these

expenditures.

^{*} See glossary at end of report for definition.

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470007

Finding Title: Federal Reporting

Finding: DOC's internal control did not ensure that required federal financial

and program activity reports were submitted in compliance with

applicable federal law and grant award requirements.

Comments: Staff have been informed that there must be compliance with

federal reporting requirements.

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470008

Finding Title: Meal Counts

Finding: DOC's internal control did not ensure that reimbursement claims for

SBP and NSLP accurately reflected the number of meals served to

eligible prisoners.

Comments: Food Service staff in DOC's central office have done on-site

reviews to monitor compliance. Also, a policy directive has been

written to address this, but it is still in the review stage.

Audit Period: October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1999

Finding Number: 470009

Finding Title: Subrecipient Monitoring

Finding: DOC did not establish a comprehensive subrecipient monitoring

process to ensure that the Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing (VOI-TIS) Incentive Grants federal awards provided to its subrecipient were expended in accordance with applicable

laws, regulations, and provisions of contracts or grants.

Comments: A contract has been entered into between DMB and DOC. Further,

DOC uses the Office of the Auditor General's Single Audit of DMB to monitor the VOI-TIS program. There were no citations regarding

VOI-TIS in DMB's audit.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

Corrective Action Plan As of May 1, 2002

FINDINGS RELATED TO THE FINANCIAL SCHEDULES

Finding Number: 470201

Finding Title: Encumbrances

Management Views: We disagree with item a., which states that we are not

in compliance with Department of Management and Budget (DMB) criteria for encumbering miscellaneous operating projects. We are following DMB instructions/guidelines when encumbering these projects as noted in the auditors' finding. We agree

with item b. and have taken steps to comply.

Corrective Action: Regarding item b., we have instructed the program

area that did not have the contract signed by

September 16 to adhere to encumbrance criteria.

Anticipated Completion Date: a. None

b. Completed March 31, 2002

Responsible Individual: Mike Draschil, Manager, Finance Division

Finding Number: 470202

Finding Title: Payroll Transactions

Management Views: We agree and have taken steps to comply.

Corrective Action: We reminded personnel managers to retain payroll

transactions in accordance with the retention schedule. We also reminded personnel managers to

review transactions using available reconciliation

reports and to retain electronic documentation of their

review.

Anticipated Completion Date: Completed April 26, 2002

Responsible Individual: Jim Thelen, Manager, Technical Services Division,

Bureau of Human Resources

FINDINGS RELATED TO FEDERAL AWARDS

Finding Number: 470203
Finding Title: Equipment

Management Views: We agree and have complied. We were not aware of

the federal requirement.

Corrective Action: Approvals from the Michigan Department of Career

Development for equipment purchases over \$5,000

are now being obtained.

Anticipated Completion Date: Completed December 12, 2001

Responsible Individual: Diane Spence, Manager, Education Section

Finding Number: 470204

Finding Title: Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State

Prisoners (RSAT) Case Files

Management Views: Regarding item a., we agree in that it is our practice to

screen offenders, who are within 9 to 18 months of their earliest release date, for entry into the program about 6 months prior to their earliest release date. Regarding item b., we agree in that occasionally, prisoners are transferred from the program/facility as a result of transfers (court appearances, medical transfers, etc.) just prior to their graduation. In these instances, offender achievement is recognized for participants who meet the minimum therapeutic standards (the core work is completed and the participant is in the pre-release phase).

Regarding item c., we agree that a form was missing. However, admission and discharge dates were recorded in our database for this case.

Corrective Action:

To comply regarding item a., we will revise the grant application to reflect our current practice.

Regarding item b., we will continue to apply the 6-month minimum standard for graduates but will still recognize achievement for those who are transferred before 6 months but have met the minimum therapeutic standards.

To comply regarding item c., we have advised the contractor that all cases of treated offenders must be completely maintained.

Anticipated Completion Date:

- a. Completed April 23, 2002
- b. None
- c. Completed April 23, 2002

Responsible Individual:

Cheryl Walker, Manager, Substance Abuse Section

Glossary of Acronyms and Terms

CFDA Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

DMB Department of Management and Budget.

DOC Department of Corrections.

financial audit An audit that is designed to provide reasonable assurance

about whether the financial schedules and/or financial statements of an audited entity are fairly presented in

conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting.

GPA gross pay adjustment.

internal control A process, effected by management, designed to provide

reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and

compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

low-risk auditee As provided for in OMB Circular A-133, an auditee that may

qualify for reduced federal audit coverage if it receives an annual Single Audit and it meets other criteria related to prior audit results. In accordance with State statute, this Single Audit was conducted on a biennial basis; consequently, this

auditee is not considered a low-risk auditee.

> statements that causes the schedules and/or statements to not present fairly the financial position or the results of operations or cash flows in conformity with the disclosed

basis of accounting.

material noncompliance

Violations of laws and regulations that could have a direct and material effect on major federal programs or on financial schedule and/or statement amounts.

material weakness

A reportable condition related to the design or operation of internal control that does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that either misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial schedules and/or financial statements or noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions.

MDCD

Michigan Department of Career Development.

Michigan Administrative Information Network (MAIN) The State's fully integrated automated administrative management system that supports the accounting, payroll, purchasing, contracting, budgeting, personnel, and revenue management activities and requirements. MAIN consists of four major components: MAIN Enterprise Information System (EIS); MAIN Financial Administration and Control System (FACS); MAIN Human Resource System (HRS); and MAIN Management Information Database (MIDB).

NSLP

National School Lunch Program.

OMB

U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

operating projects

Services for the acquisition, construction, improvement, or demolition of facilities.

qualified opinion

An auditor's opinion in which the auditor:

a. Identifies a scope limitation or one or more instances of misstatements that impact the fair presentation of the

financial schedules and/or financial statements presenting the basic financial information of the audited agency in conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting or the financial schedules presenting supplemental financial information in relation to the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements. In issuing an "in relation to" opinion, the auditor has applied auditing procedures to the supplemental financial schedules to the extent necessary to form an opinion on the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements, but did not apply auditing procedures to the extent that would be necessary to express an opinion on supplemental financial schedules taken the bν themselves; or

b. Expresses reservations about the audited agency's compliance, in all material respects, with the cited requirements that are applicable to each major federal program. In issuing an "in relation to" opinion, the auditor has applied auditing procedures to the supplemental financial schedules to the extent necessary to form an opinion on the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements, but did not apply auditing procedures to the extent that would be necessary to express an opinion on the supplemental financial schedules taken by themselves.

questioned cost

A cost that is questioned by the auditor because of an audit finding: (1) which resulted from a violation or possible violation of a provision of a law, regulation, contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement or document governing the use of federal funds, including funds used to match federal funds; (2) where the costs, at the time of the audit, are not supported by adequate documentation; or (3) where the costs incurred appear unreasonable and do not reflect the actions a prudent person would take in the circumstances.

reportable condition

A matter coming to the auditor's attention relating to a deficiency in the design or operation of internal control that, in the auditor's judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to (1) record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial schedules and/or financial statements or (2) administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants.

RSAT

Residential Substance Abuse Treatment for State Prisoners.

SBP

School Breakfast Program.

Single Audit

A financial audit, performed in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, that is designed to meet the needs of all federal grantor agencies and other financial report users. In addition to performing the audit in accordance with the requirements of auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, a Single Audit requires the assessment of compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program and the consideration of internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

SOMCAFR

State of Michigan Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

subrecipient

A nonfederal entity that expends federal awards received from another nonfederal entity to carry out a federal program.

unqualified opinion

An auditor's opinion in which the auditor states that:

- a. The financial schedules and/or financial statements presenting the basic financial information of the audited agency are fairly presented in conformity with the disclosed basis of accounting; or
- b. The financial schedules and/or financial statements presenting supplemental financial information are fairly stated in relation to the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements. In issuing an "in relation to" opinion, the auditor has applied auditing procedures to the supplemental financial schedules to the extent necessary to form an opinion on the basic financial schedules and/or financial statements, but did not apply auditing procedures to the extent that would be necessary to express an opinion on the supplemental financial schedules taken by themselves; or
- c. The audited agency complied, in all material respects, with the cited requirements that are applicable to each major federal program.

VOI-TIS

Violent Offender Incarceration and Truth in Sentencing.

47-100-02